The New-York Times.

VOL. VIII.—NO. 2451. NEW-YORK, FRIDAY, JULY 29, 1859. PRICE TWO CENTS.

THE NEWS FROM EUROPE

Arrival of the Malls by the Europa.

THE PEACE OF VILLAFRANCA.

COMMENTS OF THE EUROPEAN PRESS.

Editorial and Other Correspondence of the New-York Times.

INTERESTING DETAILS AND EXTRACTS.

The mails by the Europa, at Boston, from Liverpool, on the 16th of July, were received last evening.

Our correspondence, and selections from our foreign files complete a representation of European affairs as they stood at the departure of the steamer.

The following dispatches indicate the movement of events at the latest advices:

MILAN, Thursday, July 14.

The Emperor of the French entered this city amid the acclamations of the populace. The King of Sardinia will accompany the Emperor as far as Susa on his return to France.

TURIN, Thursday, July 14.

The Piedmontese *Gazette* announces that the Ministers of the King have tendered their resignation, holding their *portefeuilles* only till the formation of the new Cabinet.

TURIN, Friday, July 15.

King VICTOR EMANUEL and the Emperor LOUIS NAPOLEON arrived here at 5½ P. M. amid the acclamations of the populace. The Prince DE CARIGNAN and Count DE CAVOUR went to meet them. Count DE CAVOUR was much cheered by the people; Piedmontese troops and a considerable number of National Guards were drawn up in line on both sides of the road. The Emperor alighted at the Royal Palace, and to-day a great banquet will be given at Court to eighty persons. The grand dignitaries and functionaries of State have been invited. The Nineteenth Regiment of the line has arrived at Milan.

VIENNA, Thursday Evening, July 14.

The Emperor left Verona for Vienna at 8 this morning. Count RECHBERG arrived here at 11 A. M. Peace must be considered concluded, as neither ammunition nor reinforcements are now sent to Italy.

PARIS, Friday, July 15.

The letters and telegraphic messages which have reached Paris from all the great towns of France are unanimous in stating that the dispatch announcing the conclusion of peace was received with the greatest enthusiasm. The first moment of surprise over the explosion of public joy knew no bounds. In all the great towns all business was put an end to, and at night brilliant illuminations took place.

PARIS, Thursday, July 14.

The members of the diplomatic body now in Paris went yesterday to the Empress at St. Cloud to congratulate her Majesty on the re-establishment of peace.

THE WAR IN ITALY.

Suspension of Hostilities—Probabilities of Peace—The French Army after Solferino—Incidents of the Camp—Visit to Headquarters, &c.

Editorial Correspondence of the N. Y. Times.

COMO, (Italy,) Saturday, July 9, 1859.

In my letter from the field of Solferino I expressed the belief that the result of that great battle would lead to a suspension of hostilities, and probably, through the intervention of Prussia and England, to the termination of the war. It was evident at all events that no further active operations in the open field could take place for some weeks:—and as I did not care to hang long upon the skirts of an army unengaged, I left the French camp on Wednesday after the battle and turned my face in this direction.

I am not at all surprised to be overtaken here by the news that an *armistice* has been agreed upon by the two armies, and that all active movements are suspended until the 15th of August. From which side the proposition came we do not yet know,—but it is very easy to understand for whose benefit it will operate. If conquest and military glory were his object in this war, NAPOLEON had a short and easy path to the attainment of it. His armies were already beyond the Mincio—and the famous *quadrilateral* of Austrian fortresses was virtually open to him. The Sardinians had invested Peschiera, both by land and water, and were just ready to open their bombardment. Prince NAPOLEON was in position before Mantua, which, if he could not hope to reduce, he could at least blockade and render perfectly harmless in the rear of the advancing French. And the Emperor himself was just ready to push forward to Verona—the strongest by far of all the fortresses—and to enter upon its reduction with the vigor and energy which have thus far marked his conduct of the war. It is now perfectly established that his *rifled* cannon, which the English Press so complacently ridiculed at the outset of the war, is a weapon of prodigious power, and gives him immense advantages over the enemy in this open campaign. Its range is longer—its aim more accurate—and its blows heavier and far more effective than anything the Austrians or any other European army can bring against him. In siege operations its advantage would have been very great, and Verona must in any case have speedily yielded to his assault.

It is perfectly evident, moreover, that some inexplicable cause paralyzes the Austrian army and places it substantially at the mercy of the Allies. Its constant successive defeats are perfectly unaccountable upon any ordinary hypothesis of military operations. Its forces are very large and well appointed,—its generals are men of ability, experience and unquestioned courage,—its artillery is numerous and well handled, its cavalry is acknowledged to be the best in Europe,—its soldiers are brave, firm and loyal, and its positions have, in nearly every case, been selected with skill and admirable military judgment. Yet in every case all this has availed them nothing. Promising as their plans might be, when the decisive moment came, something happened to thwart them all. Just when everybody expected them to march upon Turin and hold the Piedmontese Capital, they halted, and then commenced the retreat, which, up to this time, has not been arrested. At Magenta they held a very strong position,—fought well, but part of their forces were too far away to be brought into action, and they were beaten. And at Solferino they had a position which, I have the authority of a staff officer in the Piedmontese army for saying,—5,000 men *ought* to have held against *ten times* their number,—or, in fact, against any power that could have been brought to bear upon them. They defended it vigorously,—fought well,—inflicted upon the French far heavier losses than they themselves sustained,—and *withdrew* while more than a dozen heavy batteries of their artillery had remained silent from the beginning, and while masses of their infantry still stood unengaged. At 1 o'clock they had actually beaten the Piedmontese, and if what seems to have been their original plan had been carried out, and they had at that moment thrown the whole of their disposable force upon the French, they could scarcely have failed to break them, and win the day. Several times during the heat of the battle MCMAHON's whole flank was exposed to them—yet they suffered themselves to be deterred from assailing it by French light cavalry, and especially by a body of Chasseurs d'Afrique, under Capt. BONNEMAINS,—who manœuvered for hours in so masterly a manner as actually to menace the enemy into an inactivity fatal to themselves. Their artillery completely swept the sides of all the hills round Solferino,—and twice they easily drove back the French who attempted to storm the heights. They were strongly posted in the stone houses and narrow streets and in the cemetery had high, strong, thick walls which protected them against the French fire, and gave them immense advantages over them.

How it happened that with such odds in their favor they should have been beaten, many able military critics have been puzzled to explain. The French assault was prompt, vigorous and wonderfully impetuous; and the Emperor NAPOLEON, by the rapid energy with which he brought up his scattered forces, the moment he saw a battle was impending, (for up to sunrise of that day he had no expectation of a general engagement,) reflects upon him the highest possible credit as a commander and a general. But in spite of all this, there must be some element of internal weakness in the Austrian camp; and from all I can learn, from the facts that come out, and the hints and surmises that float in the atmosphere, I have very little doubt that it is to be found in the incompetency and vacillating presumption of the Emperor himself. He is a young man, without military experience and of only theoretic military knowledge, and ambitious to a degree which makes him absurdly jealous of the generals by whom he is surrounded, whose advice he constantly rejects, and whose well-laid plans he constantly counteracts at the critical moment. Before he joined his army he kept up incessant telegraphic communication with head-quarters from Vienna, and did not hesitate, even at that distance, to overrule his ablest generals in the field. Gen. GYULAI has been disgraced for the loss of Magenta, and private accounts represent him as gloomy, melancholy, and apparently overwhelmed by the ruin of his professional character; yet he has friends who say that if the time ever comes when he can place the responsibility where it belongs, he will stand acquitted. These distinguished Generals—CLAM GALLAS, Prince LICHTENSTEIN and ZOBDWEN—are said to have thrown up their commands, since Solferino;—this could only have happened under the pressure of some very grave and imperative necessity. On the field at Solferino FRANCIS JOSEPH is said to have addressed an angry reproof to one of his oldest Generals,—who instantly broke his sword across his knee and threw it at his feet;—the Emperor burst into tears and held out both his hands, begging pardon for the offence he had given. All these things, with many others of the same kind that might be mentioned, indicate a state of complete demoralization *in the higher ranks* of the Austrian army;—and nothing can be more fatal than such a state of things to the vigorous and successful prosecution of a war. The Allies on the contrary are completely united. The King, VICTOR EMANUEL, with admirable judgment, accepts the place simply of General of a *corps d'armée*, and gives to NAPOLEON the supreme command of the whole allied armies, and the most perfect harmony prevails in every department of the combined force.

Under such circumstances it is evident that NAPOLEON had everything to gain, and FRANCIS JOSEPH everything to lose, by the steady and vigorous prosecution of the war:—and the promptness with which NAPOLEON has granted an armistice for so long a time,—whether at the request of Austria or of his own motion,—speaks volumes for the moderation and forbearance, as well as the high-toned statesmanship which guide his conduct in the prosecution of this war. I am fully prepared to see him carry these qualities still more conspicuously into the negotiations which will undoubtedly be at once opened for the conclusion of peace. I have no doubt NAPOLEON will demand peremptorily the abandonment of Lombardy and its annexation to Piedmont, if the people shall so vote;—the erection of Venetia into an independent power; and the surrender by Austria of all the secret treaties by which she has hitherto controlled the internal policy of Tuscany, the Duchies of Parma and Modena, and the other Italian States. *Less than this I do not believe he will accept*:—but all this, I have no doubt Austria will be required by England, Prussia and Russia promptly to concede. If peace shall be concluded upon those terms, this will have been the cheapest, wisest and most beneficent war waged by any Power since the War of American Independence.

If on the other hand, these terms shall be rejected by Austria, all Europe must prepare for the greatest contest she has witnessed since 1815, and for changes far exceeding those that followed the career and defeat of the first NAPOLEON. In the first place the Pope cannot longer be kept out of the whirlpool which already sweeps in steadily narrowing circles around his temporal throne. His States are on the brink of revolution even now, and his participation by any active measures,—such as the excommunication of VICTOR EMANUEL, rumors of which already begin to circulate,—would greatly embarrass NAPOLEON and introduce elements of difficulty and dissension into Sardinia itself. In the next place, Austria would unquestionably be attacked in Hungary and in all her provinces bordering upon Russia,—by revolutionary movements, not aiming necessarily at Republicanism or even at absolute independence,—but at the dethronement and expulsion of the perjured House of Hapsburg. You will not have failed to observe that even KOSSUTH, in his proclamations, uses no language which will prevent such ulterior arrangements on the part of the Hungarians as might prove acceptable even to Russia.

For Austria, therefore, it seems to me, peace has become an absolute necessity. Whether it would not be better for Europe and the world at large, that her obstinacy should lead to the still more decisive and effectual demolition of her tyranny, and to such a reconstruction of Europe and such a general installment of Constitutional Governments as that event would bring about,—I am not quite so certain. There will be time enough to discuss this point after the middle of August.

But I have given you enough of dissertation. Unfortunately I had nothing better to offer, for in the way of narrative there is nothing special to be said. Both armies have been inactive since Solferino. The French crossed the Mincio without opposition during the next week,—the Austrians withdrawing steadily in the direction of their fortresses. Their retreat from Solferino, according to the most reliable accounts, was conducted in perfect order so far as their fighting columns were concerned,—though a very large body of their army which had not been engaged at all, was thrown into a good deal of confusion. They were not routed, nor were they pursued for any considerable distance. They were largely indebted for this impunity, without doubt, to the terrible storm which arose at 5 o'clock, and which enveloped the whole plain in a cloud of dust, and rendered all movements of the French cavalry out of the question. I can imagine nothing more terrible than the pursuit, with flying artillery and cavalry, of a routed and retreating army. Fortunately I had no personal experience of this phase of war,—nor did the possibility of it occur to me when I came upon the field, where the contest was still pending, in the morning. But the *panic* of the next day,—when the town of Castiglione emptied itself in the manner I rehearsed to you in my last letter—gave us some faint impression of what would have been the style of things if the Austrians had proved the victors in that long and arduous contest. I never saw terror so general and so extreme as it was then. Everybody—soldier and civilian, well and wounded—imagined an Austrian dragoon at his heels, and the only anxiety of every one was to save himself. As the event showed the alarm to be utterly groundless, the flight, looked back upon, has much more the appearance of farce than of tragedy; but it served, at all events, to illustrate the extreme of selfish terror which must pervade the ungoverned and undisciplined mass of army followers in case of a defeat and pursuit by the victorious foe. If cannon had actually opened and dragoons rushed upon the flying crowd, I suppose we should only have experienced what occurs in the rear of every routed army.

I may as well correct here an erroneous impression which may possibly have been conveyed by previous accounts of this affair, concerning the extent to which the panic invaded the ranks of the army. I have heard it reported that 15,000 of the French *soldiers* shared in this precipitate flight to Brescia. This I believe to be entirely untrue. So far as I can speak from personal observation, *none* of the *fighting* force of the French were affected by the alarm. It was the officers and drivers of a train of ammunition wagons who started the flight—and it was only the scattered *debris* of the army who had been left behind in Castiglione,—the wounded who were in the streets, straggling officers and men who had been left to care for the wounded, orderlies, laborers and men in charge of the hospitals and ambulances, who shared the alarm and joined in the stampede. The main body of the army had encamped upon and beyond the field of battle, and were entirely unaware that any panic had occurred in the rear. I heard a few days afterward from Major K——, an American officer attached to the allied army, and who was in position on the plain, though not actually engaged with the enemy at Solferino, the probable origin of the *escapade*. He said that at about noon on the day after the battle a squadron of Austrian cavalry, which had evidently mistaken its way, made its appearance in plain sight of the army, and actually within the lines of the French camp. They saw their mistake instantly, and before the dragoons could mount and start in pursuit they had wheeled and spurred away. In the encampment itself the incident created no sensation,—but the rumor which passed rapidly to the rear, there produced a different effect. I asked Major K. why the Chasseurs d'Afrique, who withdrew from the road to let the flying tram past, did not stop them, at least for an explanation. "Simply," he replied, "because it was none of their business!" And they would promptly have given this reason for non-interference, even if they had known that the train was flying from a fancied danger! He said this was a perfect illustration of the discipline and method of the French army:—every part of it would attend strictly to its own affairs,—and no emergency could induce one to interfere with the affairs of any other.

There is a great deal that is worthy of study in the movements of such an army as this, without regard to the actual combats in which they engage. The Emperor has acquired very great credit for the vigor and rapidity with which the enormous force under his command is moved from point to point. It would seem to be very difficult, in these days of railroads and telegraphs, to deceive an enemy in the immediate neighborhood, and to throw a hundred thousand men upon his flank when they were expected in his front: yet this was actually done at Magenta, and with the most striking results. The difficulties of these rapid movements are very greatly increased by the immense amount of baggage which accompanies the army. I have heard military men say that this is a very great defect in the French army, and that it operates very seriously as a drawback upon its efficiency. Every general officer is allowed two heavy wagons for his baggage; and enormous trains accompany every regiment. These necessarily impede the army in its march, and are especially in the way when any sudden and rapid advance is required. Major K., to whom I have already referred, told me an amusing incident to illustrate the manner in which portions of the army train which are *not* wanted frequently impede the movements of those that are. The Emperor NAPOLEON was at Montechiaro on the night before the battle of Solferino. In the morning, hearing cannon on the plain before Castiglione, he ordered the cavalry regiment of Gen. MORRIS, to which Major K. was attached, to advance at a gallop and keep the enemy in check. As they approached the town they overtook an enormous *postoon train*, which had been brought up from Brescia by way of Lonato, for the purpose of crossing the Mincio,—and which was now, through contagion, probably, rushing also at full gallop into the midst of the battle! They were soon stopped, of course,—but they were already in the village, and so blocked up the narrow streets that the cavalry were compelled to pass them in *single file*, while liable at any moment to be assailed by the artillery of the Austrians.

But in spite of all these drawbacks, nothing can surpass the admirable manner in which this great army has been handled, or the exemplary conduct of the soldiers during this whole campaign. I would never have believed it possible that 150,000 men,—mere soldiers, trained as a separate and distinct power in the State, never held to any very rigid responsibility to the civil authorities, could be marched through a foreign country, under a burning sun, and under circumstances of great discomfort, with such an absolute and complete abstinence from everything like disorder, as has marked the passage of this immense force through Northern Italy. Though I have been constantly, now for several days, in and about the camp, and have met soldiers everywhere,—in the streets, on the high roads, in the fields, at *cafés*, and in private houses,—I have not yet seen or heard of a solitary instance of rude conduct, or even of rude language, on their part. I do not believe that any fire company, or body of New-York Volunteers, ever went out for a single day on a target excursion, without exhibiting more "rowdyism" than the whole French army has shown during the whole of this Italian campaign. This is owing partly, I presume, to the natural politeness of the French character,—for it is part of a Frenchman's nature never to encroach upon the private rights or to wound the feelings of others. Much of it is due also to the discipline of the army,—to its separate and isolated mode of existence. The soldier is taught to look to *the camp* for everything he needs;—with the world outside he has nothing whatever to do. He has no claims upon it, and he has never learned to make demands, or to ask favors, from it. I saw three artillerymen at Castiglione, who had traveled to Brescia and back, thirty miles, in a burning sun, through blinding dust, and without a morsel to eat for twenty hours. They were away from their camp, and without money, and could therefore get nothing. I asked them if nobody had given them food. They said no,—no one had known of their hunger, and they *did not like to ask!* This does not answer to the popular notion of an invading army,—which is generally supposed to eat its way through the country, seizing whatever it needs, and sweeping bare the region through which it takes its desolating way. There have been no more welcome or popular *travelers* in Italy for years than this French army. They march only upon the high roads;—they buy of the peasants and merchants the cattle, poultry, fruit, &c., which they have to sell,—paying always full price and in current coin: and if they hire carts, oxen, mules or donkeys for purposes of transportation, they do it by fair contract and with prompt pay. On the day after the battle I met several peasants, who inquired of me the way to the Paymaster's office—as they held written warrants for small sums of money, for having brought a load of wounded from the field to the hospital. I frequently saw soldiers come, covered with dust and ready to drop with fatigue, directly from the field, into the little *cafés* of the place, and count out their *sous*, with most scrupulous accuracy, for a drink of wine or a piece of bread.

Another thing which struck me forcibly was the air of indifference with which the soldiers seemed to treat the whole affair of the fight. There was no appearance of exultation,—no excitement, no indication whatever of anything out of the ordinary course of things among them. I did not hear a shout, not a cheer, not a song from them all. They acted as *if* they had done their day's work,—and were glad to rest a little;—and that was all. The whole thing was regarded by them as an ordinary business transaction. The officers were not quite so indifferent—nor did they take quite so common-place a view of the engagement;—they had appreciated its importance, and probably understood its difficulties better than the men,—and talked of it with corresponding warmth. But so far as any show of *feeling* was concerned, on the part of the troops, you would never have supposed they had been doing anything more than dig a canal or remove trifling obstacles out of a road over which they had found it necessary to pass.

Nearly the whole French force, on the night after Solferino, encamped on the field of battle. Some of those nearest to Castiglione came away a mile or so to obtain a clearer spot for their bivouac. Just at dusk an officer of Zouaves rode up to a subordinate who was standing near me, and told him to go at once and place men about a certain field where he wished to encamp, and not let anybody else take it. He rode off and presently brought his regiment up the road to the spot. As they arrived they turned off into the orchard which bordered the road,—pitched their tents—hitched their horses,—then clustered around the spot where the cattle had been slaughtered and dressed, and received their allowance of meat,—and in half an hour their little fires were blazing, and each was preparing the supper for which his day's work had, doubtless, given him an eager appetite. The regiments that were too far off for this, contented themselves with clearing a space of the dead with which the whole field was incumbered, and partaking of such fare as could most readily be brought up to them from the rear. The followers of an army,—those I mean who are not actual combatants, though ranked as soldiers, laborers, &c., &c., are always very numerous;—and besides these, who are counted as troops, there is always an immense number of persons who merely follow on with carts, hand-wagons, &c., filled with eatables or drinkables for sale. These volunteers are of great service after such an engagement, and whenever there is any temporary interruption of the regular supplies. On the day of Solferino especially the necessities of the troops were very great, as most of them were compelled to fight or march from 5 o'clock in the morning, after a slight breakfast, without any other refreshment, until 9 or 10 at night.

On the second day after the battle we drove out to the French head-quarters at Cavriana. Taking the Mantua road, we passed directly across the field of battle on the plain. Dead horses were visible on either side in the fields of trampled wheat and corn, which border the road;—the unburied dead of both camps were thickly scattered about, and under the blazing heat of the uncloaded sun were beginning to make the atmosphere intolerable;—and broken muskets, torn garments, discarded hats and knapsacks, scraps of paper, wasted cartridges, and the general wreck which the great storm had made and left, strewed the ground as far as the eye could reach. Eight or ten surgeons of the hospital staff were moving over the fields, hunting for wounded that might have been overlooked, and inquiring of us where carriages could be procured for their removal. Under ordinary circumstances, I believe it is usual for each regiment or division, during the action, if possible, to remove, or provide for their own wounded. But in this case, the heat and duration of the battle rendered it impossible, and a regiment would often fight its way far from its original position, without the chance of a moment's leisure for any such service of humanity. The care of the wounded, therefore, fell entirely upon the hospital staff,—which proved wholly inadequate to its proper and efficient performance. In the first place, it was altogether too small for the immense task required at its hands. Then it was quite impossible that the surgeons, who were not in the engagement, should know all the points where the battle had been most severe, and be able to go directly where the wounded were most numerous and in greatest need of succor. The result was, that in many cases the wounded actually lay *three days* without care or nourishment, among the bushes where they had fallen. And in addition to all this, as I have already remarked, the ambulances provided for the service of the army were utterly and censurably inadequate to the emergency. It is most singular that this department of the French service should have been so utterly neglected—for in Africa and other quarters where the French have been engaged, nothing could be more complete or perfect than its provision in this respect. But in this Italian campaign, certainly they have been seriously and shamefully at fault.

Quite a number of officers of both armies remained on the field unburied on the third day after the battle. One of them—an Austrian—had evidently lived some time after falling—for he had taken his *porte-monnaie* out of his pocket, and taken from it his visiting-card, which he placed upon his breast, that he might be identified when his body should be found. As a general thing, the officers were buried in separate graves, instead of being thrown, like the common soldiers, into a common trench. The body of Prince WINDISCHGRAETZ, which was found on the field, was sent into the Austrian camp, at the special request of the Emperor.

After crossing the plain, we came upon the camp of Gen. CANROBERT, which was pitched in an orchard on the right of the road. Scores of officers sat in their tents, in a state of *déshabillé*, owing to the intense heat, and nearly all engaged in writing. One soldier, to get into the shade, had gone to the very bed of the deep ditch that bordered the road, and with his naked feet in the water, and a sheet of paper on a board across his knee, was probably giving his friends at home a vivid picture of the perils and hair-breadth 'scapes he had encountered. Since reaching here, I have seen a private letter from a soldier now in hospital at Alsano, to his mother, in which he says that his company was the first that scaled the heights at Cavriana—that the Emperor FRANCIS JOSEPH was at one time not more than twenty feet from them, and that, if their muskets had not all been *unloaded*, they could have shot his horse and made him prisoner. In another part of the field a great crowd of men were gathered about the butchers' quarters, and were marching off each with his share of meat for the night. Another group was busily engaged in examining a curious Austrian *caisson*, which had fallen into their hands with other booty. While over the whole camp swelled the strains of music—half martial and half sentimental—which rose from the splendid military band of the regiment, and floated far away over the heights where the French swarmed like ants, but where the Austrians had been posted in equal pride the day before. Inquiring our way from a group of officers standing in the road, we turned to the left and pushed on for Cavriana. Half a mile further on we met a soldier on horseback, who asked us where he could find the persons whose names were on dispatches which he had been commissioned to deliver. One was for BARAGUAY D'HILLIERS, and the other for the Grand Prévost—but he could not read, and had forgotten for whom he was to inquire. We put him right, and pursued our way to the little village of stone houses clustered together upon steep and narrow streets paved with cobble-stones, and crowded with vehicles of every description.

As we entered the street leading up the hill into the town, half a dozen Zouaves, who were placed there on guard, rose from the grass where they were sitting, and asked if we had business at head-quarters. I replied that I had letters for the Grand Prévost, which I exhibited. This was quite sufficient: they gave us prompt permission to pass, with directions how to find our way to the Grand Prévost's quarters. The town was full of troops. Every field and hillside was a camping ground, and the streets were crowded with soldiers. We had some little difficulty in forcing our carriage along the narrow and crowded ways, but suffered nothing more serious than occasional detentions from other vehicles. The Emperor with his *suite* occupied a large house, situated at the back end of a very spacious court-yard, surrounded with a high wall, and which had evidently belonged to a person of distinction. His private carriages, with those of his household, and the large, brown wooden boxed waggons which carry his *cuisine*, wardrobe and furniture,—forty or fifty in number—were ranged around the court-yard and two of the police of the army mounted guard at the great entrance gate. We had arrived, unfortunately, just at the hour of dinner and could only present our letters by waiting an hour. This we did not care to do; so we drove along the heights through Solferino, thence down across the plain to Castiglione. It was after dark when we reached the wretched little *albergo* at the entrance of the town, which had been nearly stripped of everything by the crowd of the day before. Fortunately for us, they had not abducted the black-eyed and amiable Annetta;—and although she could not speak a syllable of French or English, we succeeded in making our wants intelligible, and she succeeded in getting us supper, and one room, with an enormous bed which three of us shared with the infinitely more numerous occupants who had the right of prior possession, and who labored zealously to enforce their claims throughout the night. Italian floors are never washed; and next morning we found where four large pools of blood from wounded officers had left in our chamber marks of the battle of the day before. But we slept as soundly as if a groan had never been uttered, nor a pang endured, within a hundred miles of us.

—But my letter is growing intolerably long. I must, therefore, postpone the narrative of my personal observations and experiences until another resting place gives me the opportunity to write it.

Yours, &c.,
H. J. R.

THE CONCLUSION OF PEACE.

The News at Paris—How Announced and How Received—The Conditions—The Engagements of Napoleon Fulfilled—The Illumination—The Effect of Peace on European Politics—The French and English Press on the Event.

From Our Own Correspondent.

PARIS, Wednesday, July 13, 1859.

I have a most important fact to communicate. Peace, that greatest of all blessings for nations, is now concluded between France and Austria, and thus ends the struggle which was so dreadful to human life. I announced to you in my last letter that the two Emperors were to meet; they were to have an interview at Villafranca. Much interest was felt for the result of such a meeting, and on all sides politicians were speculating thereupon. Yesterday the Parisians were startled, about midday, by hearing the cannons of the Invalides booming, as they had done for the victories of Magenta and Solferino. What could be the meaning of this salvo? It could not be that another engagement had taken place, and that once more victory had crowned the French arms. Soon it became rumored about that peace must have been concluded, and at once a sentiment of universal joy became evident. Banners and flags were hung to the breeze, and joyous comments were to be heard on all sides. A short time after, the following telegram was placarded all over the city, and what had been a surmise became a certainty. Crowds, collected as if by magic, were grouped around these placards, and congratulations were being exchanged between old and young. The telegram says:

VALEGGIO, 11th.

The Emperor to the Empress:

Peace has been signed between the Emperor of Austria and myself.

The bases agreed to are:

An Italian Confederation, under the honorary Presidentship of the Pope.

The Emperor of Austria cedes his rights over Lombardy to the Emperor of the French, who hands them over to the King of Sardinia.

The Emperor of Austria preserves Venetia, but that country forms an integral part of the Italian Confederation.

General amnesty.

What new becomes of England's vaunted intention of mediating? What is now the position of Prussia, with her mobilized army, which it has cost her such efforts and expense to get together? The Emperor has gained his greatest victory by thus defeating all attempt at coalition against him, and by managing affairs himself, acting at nought the interference of the would-be arbitrators. The American public will, I am sure, properly appreciate the moderation, as well as quickness of perception and action of Louis NAPOLEON. Those who have always cavilled at his movements, will say that his programme is not carried out. But is it not? Venetia is to remain under the influence of Austria, but still she forms an integral portion of the Italian Confederation, all of which is to be under the honorary Presidentship of the Pope. Of course, the Emperor of Austria will send some Archduke to administer in Venetia; he could not have given up that which he had not as yet lost; still, it is easy to understand that Austrian predominance in Venetia is ended, belonging to the Confederation, and having the Pope as President, the Venetians would not tolerate any despotism. They will have a Constitution, and be governed wisely and humanely. Lombardy, given by the Emperor of Austria to the Emperor of France, is handed over to the King of Piedmont, and thus the Lombards are content. The Pope will, with increase of moral authority, be enabled to grant those reforms so necessary for the bettering the condition of his subjects as well as the increase of his own power. In fact, the Italians find their condition entirely changed. A Confederation has been the dream of every Italian patriot for a long time, and this object is gained without all Europe being engaged in a deadly struggle. Let those who expected the Emperor NAPOLEON to keep on *killing* until all Austrians were driven from Italy, look upon the matter as they may, the peace of Villafranca is a glorious consummation of a most glorious campaign. The Emperor NAPOLEON having freed the Italian people, will leave them to be governed in the manner *they* have ever wished for, only demanding from them such gratitude as is due him for the great benefits he has conferred upon them. On Friday next he returns to Paris, quietly and without any ceremony. But no doubt he will make a grand entry at the head of the army when it returns to Paris. I am inclined to believe that this most interesting ceremony will take place on the 15th of August, (the St. NAPOLEON,) which as a *fête* day will thus have additional lustre given to it. Last night the city was beautifully illuminated on all sides; in the poorest as well as the richest quarters of Paris each window had its light. The public buildings were more resplendent than on former occasions. An immense crowd circulated without noise or confusion.

The Parisians who seem so demonstrative and who gesticulate so vehemently, are, nevertheless, a most orderly people, and on occasions such as last night's illuminations, observe a most pleasing decorum. I wrote at length on Tuesday last, expecting a departure from Havre—I mailed my letter, but have since ascertained that no steamer sailed this week from that port for the United States. You will thus receive my two letters at the same time. I should have mentioned before, the fact that great satisfaction is expressed here at the conclusion of the Emperor's telegram. "A general amnesty is granted." Thus there can be no retaliation, no punishment—all offences end, and a new era commences for Italy. One thing remains to be done, and *now* it will take place. The Pope, no longer obliged to give way to foreign influence, will carry out such plans as must better the condition of the citizens of the Papal States, else he will surely find his people more than a match for him. I cannot refrain from summing up the condition of Europe at the moment when the war began. All was then uncertainty. Germany was assuming a threatening aspect on the Rhine—England's Parliament, composed of Tories, was protesting in favor of the treaties of 1815, while Prussia was in a half-way condition, between doubt and hostility. Russia was looking on, ready to take advantage of whatever turn affairs might take. It really seemed as though a general war was inevitable. Two months of a campaign unparalleled in history, puts an end to all doubt and uncertainty. Governments that were most anxious to profit from the struggle going on, have been deceived by the moderation and judgment of the Emperor NAPOLEON, who gains his purpose, and prevents any undue advantage being taken of him by those well disposed to do so. Even yesterday, the Lords of Great Britain were discussing the suspension of arms, and advocating that mighty England should mediate between the adversaries, and by putting an end to the war, enhance her own importance. They counted without Louis NAPOLEON, who is accustomed to attend to his own business. He has made a peace which attains his object, without causing the ruin of the Austrian Empire. And no doubt the Emperor FRANCIS JOSEPH will feel that he owes a debt of gratitude to the victorious and powerful enemy, who at a moment so fraught with peril to Austria, came to him with propositions of peace. Having given a severe lesson to Russia, the Emperor NAPOLEON gained thereby her friendship. He has now no doubt done the same by Austria, and henceforth the two people will, knowing each other better, be more friendlily disposed. I suppose Prussia dreads the eventualities that may ensue. She has certainly baffled Austria, and in case of a war with France would get no aid from a Power that got none from her in its hour of need.

Those Germanic States that were ready to enter into hostilities from their Austrian leanings, will now of course give up all idea of any struggle, and thus Prussia stands alone. Bavaria will now at once put an end to any demonstration on her part, and the Power that sought to gain predominance (Prussia) stands in a most peculiarly unpleasant attitude. Conscious of having given great cause of offence to France with a large army mobilized, and having no longer the support of the Germanic Confederation, Prussia can but lay down her arms. What a humiliation and what a lesson to the rest of Europe. Nations as well as individuals must beware of being too selfish.

THURSDAY, July 14.

The political director of the *Constitutionnel*, in noticing the peace, expresses sentiments so much in accordance with what I wrote to you yesterday, that I translate the article, as it gives a very exact idea of the present phase of affairs. The writer says:

"This great result of one of the most glorious campaigns recorded in military history, will all posterity with astonishment and admiration. For such events, time only is wanting to cause them to appear as great as those which have cast the greatest *éclat* on humanity. And, what is new in history perhaps, the conqueror has not abused his victory. After four battles won, the Emperor, triumphant on the Mincio, has nobly offered peace to a vanquished enemy who could not have solicited it from him. In the plenitude of his force—at the moment at which a Sardinian army was investing Peschiera—at which the French army was about to besiege Verona—at which Prince NAPOLEON had brought up a reinforcement of 40,000 fresh troops—at which the fleet, consisting of forty vessels, was about to bombard Venice—at that moment, the Emperor NAPOLEON stops short, and master of himself and of the situation, dominates and moderates events. He astonishes Europe by a peace, which is so much the more glorious that, in attaining the object of the war, he repudiates all ambition. After this peace, we may say without any exaggeration that the situation of Europe is changed, for thus disappears one of the most active causes of the disorder which was kept alive by the revolutionary spirit. The treaties of 1815 are torn up as far as concerns Italy. Italy is reconstituted in her independence; her different States are united in a confederation which is in accordance with her national traditions, and which is the necessary condition of her political existence. Piedmont, which so bravely fought on the fields of battle by the side of France, receives Lombardy. The Pope, President of the Confederation, will obtain by that great political position an increase of moral power, which will enable him to effect necessary reforms in his States. The Holy See will find in this state of things the surest guarantee of its independence, and will thereby be freed from any foreign influence or interference. The Duchies, also, will find in regular governments the guarantee of their enfranchisement from all foreign influence. In a word, Italy will cease to be a 'geographical expression,' and will become the representative of right, civilization and nationality."

The following proclamation by the Emperor NAPOLEON to the army in Italy, has just been posted up around Paris. It is like all the Emperor's addresses or proclamations, remarkable for its terse but yet eloquent composition:

"SOLDIERS!

Peace is concluded with the Emperor of Austria. The principal aim of the war has been attained. Italy is to become, for the first time, a nation. A Confederation of all the States of Italy, under the honorary presidentship of the Pope, will unite the members of one family. Venetia remains, it is true, under the sceptre of Austria, but she will nevertheless be an Italian province, forming a part of the Confederation. The union of Lombardy to Piedmont makes for us, alongside of the Alps, a powerful ally, who owes to us his independence. Those Governments who have kept aloof from the movement, or who are jealous of their possessions, will appreciate the necessity of ordinary reforms. A general amnesty will cause all causes of civil disorders to disappear. Italy, restored henceforth of her own destinies, will have but herself to blame if she does not progress regularly in order and liberty.

You will soon return to France—a grateful country will greet with transport those soldiers who have covered our arms with glory at MONTEBELLO, PALESTRO, TURBIGO, MAGENTA, MARIGNANO and SOLFERINO; who in two months have delivered Piedmont and Lombardy, and only stopped because the struggle was about to assume proportions not relative with the interests which France had in this foreign affair. Be proud of your successes, proud of the sympathy displayed, and, above all, proud of being the well-beloved children of that France which will always be the Grande Nation, as long as she has a heart to feel and noble causes and men like yourselves to defend them.

NAPOLEON."

IMPERIAL QUARTER, Valeggio, July 16, 1859.

You will notice that this document clearly states that the struggle ended because it was about to assume such an aspect as was not intended at its commencement. The Emperor NAPOLEON wished to liberate Italy, but he did not desire a struggle against parties in no way interested. He has seen too much for all of them and has defeated all attempts at coalition by his moderation in his hour of triumph. Allow me to call your attention to a most important fact: As Lombardy is ceded to the King VICTOR EMANUEL, Peschiera and Mantua no longer belong to Austria; the famous quadrangle in their dominion, and no doubt it will be agreed upon by all parties that the fortifications of all four of the strongholds be thrown down. Thus ends Austria's might in Italy.

I wish to make an extract or two from the leaders of to-day's London *Times*. You will judge clearly of the state of mind that that journal has been thrown into by this unexpected peace. It says:

"France now has the game in her hands more successful than she could have expected. She has all Europe before her. She can now raise all Italy and half the Austrian Empire against those German States whom she has so often beaten. Yet on the very summit of her ambition she recalls and she renounces. Should this indeed satisfy NAPOLEON III., *there arises a new misgiving*, as one contemplates the extreme anxiety which has been shown first to humble and then to conciliate Austria, and to win both her respect and gratitude."

"A new misgiving" are significant words, but the *Times* should alter that phrase and say old misgivings are becoming more intense. Decidedly the English are getting frightened; they are aware that enough cause has been given by them for resentment, and now they dread the consequence, for Louis NAPOLEON is becoming more and more powerful. The following extract will show how great is the mortification experienced. NAPOLEON and FRANCIS JOSEPH have made peace, without consulting or needing the aid of Great Britain, and so the *Times* says:

"Our mediation has not been wanting. We have been spared all the labors of diplomacy, all the ingratitude of the conciliator, all the blunders of Envoys Extraordinary and Ministers Plenipotentiary. Not one British name will appear in the page that records the fact. The treaty itself will only indirectly make its appearance in a Blue-book. No homilies are now required to chastise that national vanity which in this instance has nothing to be proud of."

Can language more clearly prove mortification and wounded vanity? Beware of the counsels that the *Times* will now put upon the affairs of Italy. Misrepresentations and false statements, absurd and unfounded, will teem in its columns. Let not the American public hear only one *side* of the question. The *Times* has long enough misrepresented European facts for the American public. It now becomes a duty for leading American journals to have persons upon whose judgment they can rely to report for their readers upon European matters truthfully and accurately, events as they occur being judged not in an English point of view, but in a broader and more catholic sense.

DEAN.

THE PEACE NEWS IN ENGLAND.

THE MOTIONS OF THE FRENCH EMPEROR—DISCORD AMONG THE ALLIES—WHY CAVOUR RESIGNED—HIS SUCCESSOR—SARDINIA A DEPENDENCY OF FRANCE—DISAPPOINTMENT OF LORD PALMERSTON AT THE PEACE—THE PANIC IN ENGLAND UPON THE INCREASE—PROBABLE DISSOLUTION OF THE NEW CABINET.

From Our Own Correspondent.

LONDON, Friday, July 15,

GOVERNOR WISE'S PLATFORM.

The Rights of Adopted Citizens—The Slave-Trade, and Affairs in General.

NEW-YORK, Friday, July 8, 1859.

To His Excellency, Henry A. Wise, Richmond, Va.:

SIR: Your name having been prominently brought before the American people of the Democratic Party, both in this quarter and in other sections of the Union, as their choice for the nomination of the Charleston Convention, as a candidate for the Presidency, the undersigned respectfully ask that you will favor them with your opinions regarding the rights of our adopted fellow citizens, on revisiting the places of their birth, to the protection of the Government of the United States.

This subject has been brought to our notice by a letter addressed by Mr. JOHN APPLETON, Assistant Secretary of State, to R. H. G., of New-York, dated Washington, March 16, 1859. The letter replied to was as follows:

NO 81 LIBERTY STREET, NEW-YORK, March 11, 1859.

The Hon. Lewis Cass, Secretary of State, Washington, D. C.:

DEAR SIR: The question has been asked me, by a party who wishes to visit his native place, (Hanover, Germany,) whether he would not be protected by a passport from the United States Government, he being a citizen of the United States, having become more than five years ago. He left Hanover when he was but sixteen years of age, and has resided in the United States ever since. He has been told that if he returned to Hanover he would be subject either to military duty or the payment of a fine, as his Government would have a claim upon him for military duty, had he remained in Hanover, till he arrived at the age of twenty-one.

The party to whom I refer is anxious to visit the place of his birth, but is afraid to go, lest, until he shall have completed his being able to do so without molestation, he is afraid to leave for his native land.

I must beg leave, therefore, to trouble your Department for its views upon the subject. I see no reason why an American passport should not protect him as well and as fully in Hanover as in any other part of Europe.

I have the honor to be, very respectfully, &c.,

R. H. G.

To which the following reply was made:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, WASHINGTON, March 16, 1859.

TO R. H. G., Esq., New-York:

DEAR SIR: Your letter of the 11th inst. has been received. In reply, I am directed to inform you that a passport is a mere certificate of citizenship, which requests friendly governments to give all lawful and needful facilities to the person named therein. It does not exempt him from any obligation or penalty which he may have incurred to this Government or his native country, prior to his naturalization, if he should voluntarily repair thither.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,

JOHN APPLETON,
Assistant Secretary of State."

And also by a letter from Gen. CASS, Secretary of State, to Mr. FELIX LE CLERC, of Memphis, Tennessee, dated Washington, May 17, 1859, in which the following passage occurs:

"Your naturalization in this country will not exempt you from that claim," (the claim of the French Government to military service from all natives of France who may be found within its jurisdiction,) "if you should voluntarily repair thither." (to France.)

The grounds assumed in the above letters strike us as novel and contrary to the doctrines hitherto put forward and insisted upon by our Government, and in defence of which we waged war with Great Britain.

The European doctrine denies the right of expatriation, and claims that once a subject is to be always a subject. England has been forced to abandon this doctrine, while the despotic governments on the Continent continue to maintain it.

If England is not permitted to reubjugate our adopted citizens, how can we consistently submit to its being done by other governments.

We deny the right of Hanover and of France to claim forced military service from our adopted citizens, who may happen to revisit the homes of their birth, simply because they were born there. They have no more right to do so than had Austria to claim MARTIN KOSSTA, or Great Britain to seize our adopted citizens when doing duty on American vessels, under our flag.

Nor do we believe that "a passport is a mere certificate of citizenship," which may be violated at will by foreign governments, and the bearer deprived of liberty or even life, in contempt of our solemn obligations. Immunity from military service, incurred in the infancy of birth or during his minority. The seal of the United States is the sign manual of sovereignty, which should at all times shield her citizens in person and in property, at home and abroad.

Second—We would be pleased to learn your views as to whether States can constitutionally pass laws prolonging the period of naturalization beyond the period of five years' probation, provided for by the present laws of Congress? and how far States possess the right to discriminate between the franchises of one class of its citizens over another?

Third—We would be pleased also to learn your views regarding the legality and expediency of re-opening the African Slave-trade, and

Fourth—Your views, also, regarding the legitimate bounds of Congressional legislation in regard to the Territories, which, while maintaining non-Intervention on the question of forcing or excluding Slavery from them, yet should claim the constitutional power, if necessary, to protect the rights of persons and property within their borders, leaving the people free at the proper time to form a State Constitution, and seek admission into the Union, whether Free or Slave States, as they may elect.

Hoping to be favored with your views at your earliest convenience, we are, very respectfully,

Your obedient servants,

UDOLPHO WOLFE,	BERNARD SHERIDAN, M. D.,
DEXTER OTEY,	W. J. HENNESSY,
JOHN BRADBURN,	PATRICK LOGAN,
JOHN COMMORS,	THOS. D. CONROY,
RICH'D BANAGHAN,	JOHN WARD,
R. B. CAMPBELL,	JOHN BUTLER,
M. F. NUMAN,	P. MCCARTHY,
JOHN BRANNEBY,	ANTHONY CONWAY,
	And others.

RICHMOND, Va., July 15, 1859.

GENTLEMEN: Yours of the 8th reached me the 13th inst., and I proceed to answer it as briefly and categorically as possible. I cannot elaborate a reply to either question, for each, separately, would require—and on one of them, at least, I have written—a long treatise; and it is desirable that the conclusions should be condensed, without the reasons for them, in order that they may be read. I will amplify them as—rather than if it is necessary to make myself understood, and to draw the distinctions essential to guard the main exceptions to general rules, which affect.

1. The rights of naturalized citizens to the protection of the United States in the countries of their nativity, after they have become naturalized.

These rights bring in review the conflict of doctrine and practice between the old European, and the new American systems of naturalization.

1. What was the European system?

2. What is the system of the United States?

1. The old. To state the old, succintly, but sufficiently for the contrast with the new, I will take Prussia for example. What were and are her doctrines of the obligations of her native citizens, at home and abroad? What are the claims upon them which she sets up? And what protection does she afford them as respects foreign powers and jurisdictions? Mark you, I speak now as to her native citizens alone, who have never renounced their allegiance to her.

1. At home—she requires implicit obedience to all her sovereign and municipal laws; particularly, first, military service for a term of years; and second, service in her reserved corps or system, called the Landwehr. And to some extent, third, civil service of mechanics, especially, for a term of years, in her guilds or corporations, to acquire and diffuse a variety or skill in the arts.

2. Abroad—she requires them to observe and obey the laws of foreign countries, where they may be visiting, passing, or sojourning, of the following classes:

1. Their criminal codes. They shall commit no offences, no misdemeanors, felonies, or other crimes against the municipal laws of the locality wherever they may happen to be. If they do, (they are left subject to the penalties of the local laws.

2. Their commercial or revenue laws. They must pay all customs, dues, &c., levied, legally, by the local jurisdiction.

3. Their political laws. They must observe the Corporation ordinances and regulations of the local authorities.

4. They must be governed by the "local law," as it is called in other respects. As, for example, if they owe or be sued in courts foreign to her, she leaves them to the remedies, whatever they are, of the *lex fori*—the law of the forum or courts where the suit may be pending. If they make a bontract abroad, she leaves the construction of the contract to the *lex loci contractus*—the law of the place where the contract was made. If they claim distribution of a decedent's estate in another country, or of a debtor's assets, or a debt, or other claims, *ab intestato and testa.* abroad, she leaves her citizens there to be governed by the *lex loci*, the law of the place. And especially as relates to lands and real estate, in a foreign jurisdiction, by her citizens, whether by deed, devise, descent, device, purchase, or in any other manner, she leaves to the *lex loci rei sitæ*—the law of the place where the realty is situated.

Thus will suffice to exemplify the obedience she requires of her own citizens to her own laws; and that which she yield from them to the laws of foreign countries. The description of foreign laws which she recognises as binding her citizens abroad, are those of the criminal, commercial, political, local and municipal kind. Against these and the like she is not nationally bound to protect her citizens, at least within the pale of civilization.

But against attempts at authority beyond the jurisdiction of these laws and the like, she and *every other* European Power claims the right of

[illegible] or citizens.

1. *They don't admit or allow of any political obligations of their citizens to a foreign sovereignty.* They claim all these obligations of their own citizens as belonging to themselves. And *these they claim to* be inalienable and indestructible too.

2. None of their citizens is pressed into the military service of a foreign potentate, prince or sovereign, they will interpose to protect and release him. Why?

1. Because by the feudal law military service is due essentially to his own sovereign; it is due by his allegiance to his sovereignty at home.

2. His own sovereignty may need his military services at home, whilst he is pressed into foreign service. And

3. He might, against his will, be forced to serve two masters, he might be pressed to serve in war against his own Sovereign, and be compelled to incur the penalty of death for desertion on the one hand, or for treason on the other, or be compelled to perpetrate double treason.

3. Because allegiance and protection are correlative obligations. *Allegiance is the political obligation of the subject*, and protection of the sovereign.

If the subject goes abroad and voluntarily enlists in a foreign service; that may make it optional with the sovereign whether to interpose or not, or how far to relieve him. But we are dealing with *compulsory* cases, in order to extract a rule for that class of cases.

4. Prussia and European Powers all deny the right of expatriation, without the consent of the sovereign; and hold to the maxim of "once a citizen, always a citizen," and claim the obligations due for allegiance for life. They deny the right of naturalization to relinquish it without their consent. Therefore it was that Great Britain claimed, for example, the right of "search" of our vessels, and the right of seizing those of their crews who were natives of her realm; and it was upon that issue that the last war with her for "Sailor's Rights" was fought.

Such is the old, the European system. The New, or American, is precisely the old, except that it rejects the last clause against Expatriation and Naturalization.

The United States of America established the new system and order of Human Rights, and upset the odious dogma of despotism, "once a citizen always a citizen."

Necessity, as well as policy and the love of freedom, compelled them to unfetter the people of the Old Word born in exsina, in order that "these States" might be populated by a new law of naturalization. In their Declaration of Independence they complained of the grievance that the King of Great Britain had "endeavored to prevent the population of these States—for that purpose obstructing the laws for the naturalization of foreigners, refusing to pass others to encourage their migration hither," &c. And when independence was achieved and the Constitution was adopted, one of the first powers proclaimed and specifically given to the Congress, was:

Article 1st, Section viii., Class 4th: "The Congress shall have power to establish a uniform rule of naturalization."

The true idea of naturalization is, according to its legal as well as its etymological sense, "when one who is an alien is made a natural subject by act of law and consent of the sovereign power of the State." The consent of our sovereign power is written in the Constitution, and Congress has passed the acts of law. By the leading statutes of April 14, 1802, and May 26, 1824, modified by other statutes, when the alien declares his intention to become a citizen of the United States, he is required first, then, on oath or affirmation, before the proper State or Federal Court, "to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to any foreign prince, potentate, State or sovereignty, whereof such alien may at any time be a citizen or subject." Again: When he has waited his probation through, and applies to be admitted a citizen of the United States, he is required a second time to take an oath or make affirmation, before the proper Court, "that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign prince, potentate, State or sovereignty whatever, and particularly, by name, the prince, potentate, State or sovereignty whereof he was a citizen or subject." He is required to renounce, also, all hereditary titles, and all orders of nobility. And the main exception to naturalization in our laws is: "Provided, that no alien who shall be a native, citizen, denizen, or subject of any country, State or sovereignty, with whom the United States shall be at war at the time of his application, shall then be admitted to be a citizen of the United States."

Thus by double oaths we require renunciation of allegiance and fidelity by an alien to the country of which he was native or subject. And we "naturalize" him our citizen. He swears allegiance to the United States; and renounces and abjures all allegiance to other powers, and becomes "*as it terminus*," as if native born in the United States, their country is his country, their *flag is his flag*. He is born anew to liberty, not figuratively, as is the spiritual kingdom of grace, but by the adoption of naturalization he is made in fact as if "native," "one of us." What the natives owe to the United States, the naturalized owe to them; and what the United States owe to the natives, they owe to the naturalized—nothing more and nothing less. To ascertain, then, what they owe to their native, is to ascertain what they owe to the naturalized citizens and subjects. What protection do they owe to their native citizens abroad?

Just at this time the United States have an unusual number of native citizens in Europe. They have gone for travel, trade, and to look upon the desolations of the wars of despotism, while their own blessed country is smiling in peace and plenty, without a sigh except for Italy and the European millions who are bleeding in chains for chains! If our traveling natives go to Calais in France, they must be aware of violating the criminal code, and avoid violating the local laws declaring offences "*mala in se* or "*mala prohibita*"—all misdemeanors, felonies, and other crimes; they must also pay, for example, all wharfage dues of landing, and submit to examination of baggage, and pay customs, and observe all commercial regulations; they must be cautious when they get to Paris to observe the local police laws; they must submit, in one word, to all the local, criminal, commercial, policial, municipal laws and ordinances. If they violate any of these they will be subject to French jurisdiction, and the United States will not and ought not to interpose for their protection. If any one of them should choose to enlist in the French military or civil service, voluntarily, he must abide its laws. If he deserts the army he may be shot as a deserter; if he murders he may be hung or guillotined. The United States can interpose naught but "good offices" to relieve him from penalties incurred upon his own responsibility to local laws—as in the case of the filibusters in Cuba.

But, on the other hand, if LOUIS NAPOLEON dares to seize any of them and force them into his line of the army, or restrains them of their personal liberty for his service, of any sort, without violation of any local, municipal law, but merely upon the claim that he may enforce their allegiance and fidelity to his sovereignty because they are in his dominions, then the United States will interpose for their protection, and will deliver them from the usurpation, if that bold bald bird, which has an eyrie some where near Mount Vernon, has not lost his beaks and talons, and forgotten the cunning of his instinct to swoop at any felon hawk that may prey upon the Gallic Cock, or any other fowl of European barn-yards. And why? Simply for the same reason given why Prussia and France, and any other European sovereignty, will not allow their native citizens to be so seized and served; because these citizens owe their allegiance to their own Government, and that Government owes to them protection; because these obligations of allegiance and protection are reciprocal and political, and not municipal, are home and not foreign, are universal and not local, are permanent and not temporary obligations, though they are not perpetual. There is no other reason for interposing in behalf of natives.

If that be the reason for interposing in behalf of natives, why does not the same reason prevail with the United States in protecting naturalized citizens? The same does not prevail with European Powers for protecting their naturalized citizens, for they hold the dogma against expatriation and to the maxim, "once a citizen, always a citizen." But the same must prevail with the United States; for they expressly meant, by their Constitution and laws, to deny and contradict, this dogma and maxim, and to assert and maintain the rights of expatriation and naturalization. If not, why twice swear the naturalized alien?

1. To "renounce" and "abjure" all "allegiance," all fidelity to any other Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and, particularly, to the Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, by name, of which he was born a subject? If our naturalized citizens, then, be a native Frenchman, and he returns to France voluntarily, even, he has the same right to visit France which any other citizen of America has, by treaty and comity and reciprocity, between the United States and France, to visit that country for lawful purposes, and he is entitled to the same kind and degree of protection. If France says he was born here, and became once here, he is here for life, within her jurisdiction, the United States is bound to reply, that they have made him renounce and abjure allegiance and fidelity to France; and,

2. To swear allegiance and fidelity to the United States. To reply that we have "naturalized" him, and that he has expatriated himself from France, and become, by our sovereign consent, a citizen of the United States, as if native born, and that now he owes allegiance and fidelity to us.

If he owes penalty to France for any crime, unexpiated; if he owes her any due of customs or commerce; if he has broken any police regulations; *if he has not observed the local laws*; if he is subject to *any forfeiture under municipal laws, he is subject*, just as our native citizens are subject, to the local jurisdiction of France—but no farther. He has released himself from allegiance, and owes no longer any political obligation to the sovereignty of his birth—it was all discharged and dissolved by his expatriation and naturalization, and both of these we have guaranteed.

This, I know, brings on a conflict of laws. And what if it does? Who would have it otherwise, if Europe will not yield? Who would have the United States admit any such claims to penalties and services her citizens by foreign Powers? Who would have consent that these dogmas of despotism should prevail perpetually? Whatever we may say, our fathers declared in their independence, wrote it in their Book of Constitution, and re-wrote it in their standing statutes, dipped in the blood of the *Leopard* and the *Chesapeake*, that the European dogma, because a man happens to be born the slave of a tyrant he shall be bound to his chains for life, and shall never fly to a better country and make that country his own, should be abolished from the earth, so far as our country and its citizens are concerned. And all our laws of naturalization will have to be repealed, and we must return to the dogma of Europe, unless we protect our naturalized and native citizens equally and alike. The contract for their protection has been made with them by our laws and policy, and we will retrograde *from the stand taken by America*, if we do not redeem our promise in good faith and with good courage. Whence came this other sort of fashionable doctrine of "Non Protection?" It seems to be an age for ignoring protection by the Government of the United States and its Departments, just as the United States have got strong enough to assert and maintain their obligations of protection to everything sacred belonging to them, at home and abroad. The rights of expatriation and naturalization *were peculiarly American*, and it was our boast that this was, especially, some new good thing under the sun which the United States had introduced upon the earth, *for the oppressed of all mankind*, against the old orders of things which made men slaves. How came our patent to this *cherished* principle of American law and liberty to be renounced—and by whom has it been renounced? The nation has never renounced it. Aware that any one else has, its indignation will burn consumingly hot. I am sorry to say Mr. WEBSTER renounced it in failing to draw our American distinction between "political" and other obligations. He adopted the idea of the European code, that an obligation of perpetual allegiance could be "incurred" by birth; and that such obligation could be enforced within the jurisdiction of the nativity after naturalization elsewhere. He laid it down in his book, and contradicted the Declaration and Constitution and statutes of his new country, which lay down another and new theory of the rights of man, conflicting with the European dogma. He *had been so long in Europe, among her courtiers, that* his metal lost the ring of American liberty. I have reason to believe that an honorable friend *of mine, DANIEL D. BARNARD, of New-York*, while Minister at Berlin, did not forget his American lessons, and urged the right of protection to our naturalized citizens in Prussia. The cases were referred to the State Department at *Washington, and my friend, the Hon. EDWARD EVERETT*, was then Secretary of State, and he, unfortunately, followed the authorities of Mr. WEBSTER. Mr. BARNARD was over-ruled. He was succeeded by Mr. VROOM, of New-Jersey, another able and excellent patriot, who concurred with Mr. BARNARD, I believe, in maintaining the American doctrine; and he referred the question again to the State Department, under Mr. MARCY, who returned instructions conforming to those of his predecessor, Mr. EVERETT. About this time I became possessed of an abstract of numerous and flagrant cases of violations of the rights of our naturalized citizens, in Prussia, Hanover, and the Grand Duchy of Oldenburg. I called upon the Department of State, in person, and presented the question on several of our leading men in public life, and got it obtain no second to the views I am now urging. All were afraid of involving the United States in extreme difficulties, if we boldly claimed the full extent of the American theory. They cited KOSSUTA, a foreigner, for example, who might induce many of our naturalized citizen s to go to Europe for the very purpose of involving us in a war with Austria. This they urged might be done easily in various ways, under the semblance of the rights of expatriation and naturalization. It was in vain that I urged that the principle of American protection involved no question of protection against a violation of local and municipal law: that if our naturalized citizens did not go abroad with *bona fide* lawful and peaceful intent, but aided in conspiring against any foreign sovereignty, they would be punishable for crime in the jurisdiction wherever it might be perpetrated. It was in vain I urged that we were obliged, by contract, to guarantee protection against all obligations of allegiance claimed by foreign powers, and that it would be cowardice and bad faith not to fulfill and redeem our promise before the world and against the world. Just before this time the case of KOSSUTA occurred. It touched every chord in the American heart. True, it occurred in a Turkish port, but there was the old issue: "Having been born in Austria, naturalized in the United States, found in Turkey, was he still a citizen of America, owing any allegiance anywhere to her?" Mr. MASON claimed that he didn't owe it to her in Turkey, at least; and Capt. LADIMAN, to his everlasting honor, threw over the man the ægis of the United States, which protected his right of expatriation from Austria, and his right of naturalization in the United States. This gave hope of the open maintenance of our American doctrine. This was in 1853. In 1854 I wrote a defence of naturalized citizens, and in the accompanying pamphlet, in my letter on "Know-Nothingism," you will see from pages 14 to 20, inclusive, that I urged the doctrine then which I urge now. I confess that my object then was to make it popular. But, in spite of what he had done in the KOSSUTA case, Mr. MARCY followed Mr. EVERETT, and instructed Mr. VROOM, as Mr. EVERETT had Mr. BARNARD, that our naturalized citizens were not to be protected when they voluntarily returned to the jurisdiction of their nativity from their obligations of allegiance by birth. In other words, that persons who had violated no local and municipal laws of that jurisdiction, might, if found within it, be compelled to do military service simply on the ground of birth there, and under the maxim, "Once a citizen always a citizen." I regret to say that Mr. MARCY was sustained by the Attorney-General (CUSHING) under Mr. PIERCE'S Administration. And now, I regret still more to see that the great author of the treatise against visitation and search, whilst he was Minister at Paris, now Secretary of State, has given this detestable European dogma his sanction too, expressly, in the letter you wrote from him to Mr. F. LE CLERC, of Memphis, and *which I have already commented on in a published* letter to Mr. M. MAYES, of Lynchburgh, Va.

As to the letter of Mr. APPLETON, Assistant Secretary of State, it is an "eel" too slippery to hold in your grasp. It is chiefly diplomatic, and equivocal. It is either nothing at all—don't touch the question—or it is stronger than even Europe puts the dogma of despotism—I don't know which.

First, it means to assert that a "passport is a mere certificate" of very little import; or it means what it says, that it is of the essential importance of a notice to "foreign Governments to give all lawful aid and protection to its bearer." And its

Second proposition is, either that "it don't exempt him from any obligation or penalty" to the Government of his native country, prior to his naturalization, if he should voluntarily repair thither;" not excepting the political obligation of allegiance; or, the proposition is, what it says, that it don't "exempt him from any obligation or penalty which he may have incurred," &c., without saying whether a perpetual obligation of allegiance could or could not be "incurred" by birth! This dispatch, then, leaves the question dubious and dark. And the last giving out of the State Department, which I have seen in the *Constitution* newspaper of the 14th inst., headed "The Naturalization question," contradicts directly the two previous letters and all the previous instructions of the Department. This last is not clear counsel, but is, as far as it goes, nearly correct—is, at last, a beginning of a return to the true American doctrine; is not, at least, like the others, either diametrically opposed to it, or dark and dubious in its diplomacy. I congratulate you upon this much gained, and hope, now that the national attention is aroused, we may have, henceforth and forever, an open, undisguised, manly, ingenuous, patriotic declaration—

That the native and naturalized citizens of the United States of America, equally and alike, shall be, at every reasonable hazard, protected in their political obligation of allegiance to their own native and adopted land, to which they are either born or sworn under our laws, at home and abroad, to "the uttermost ends of the earth."

Don't let it be longer yielded by a sneaking diplomacy. Already the papers of our State Department, I blush to say, are scattered over parts of Europe, to justify the European dogmas of despotism. They are giving false notes of our country, and I trust they will be called in. Let the trumpet give no "uncertain sound."

So much for naturalization abroad.

2. The effect of State laws. You ask, in the second place, "whether States" (of our Union) "can constitutionally pass laws prolonging the period of naturalization beyond the period of five years probation, provided for by the present laws of Congress? And how far States possess the right to discriminate between the franchises of one class of its citizens over another?"

In reply to the first clause of this question, I say that the Constitution of the United States has given to the Congress alone the power "to establish a uniform rule of naturalization."

Congress cannot renounce or ignore that power, and the States cannot assume to exercise it for themselves, and they cannot make or modify, change or abolish the rule established by the Congress of the United States. If they may, then they would have the concurrent power of naturalization; and the "rule" might not be one but many, not "a uniform" but many multiform rules of naturalization. If Massachusetts might prolong them, Virginia might shorten the period of probation. An alien landing in the one, and remaining and qualifying there might take twenty-one years to become entitled to the privileges and immunities of a citizen of the United States, or might never be entitled to them; or landing in the other and remaining and qualifying there, might take but one year to become so entitled, or require no time at all. Such absurdity would destroy the very end of the national power, the unity and uniformity of the rule. A "rule," to be a rule must be "uniform and universal."

True, each State may prescribe the qualifications of their own electors; and the electors of the most numerous branches of the State Legislatures, by article 1, section 2, clause 1, of the Constitution of the United States, are the electors of the Federal Representatives. Thus, a State may possibly exclude foreign-born citizens from being electors in her limits; but this even is questionable; and whether the naturalized citizen may be allowed to vote or not, in any particular State, still he is a naturalized citizen of the United States, and of this new birthright he cannot be deprived, no more than the duty of the United States to protect him can be renounced or ignored. If he may not be allowed to vote in one State he will be in another. If Massachusetts may not concede him equality Virginia will. *He may be a citizen of the United States* without *being a citizen* of any State or Territory, or of the District of Columbia, for want of sufficient residence in either to make him a citizen there; thus, he may land from abroad *in one State, give notice of his intention* in another, travel all the time in many States during his five years probation, and finally be admitted in any one of them, or in that where he gave notice, without acquiring citizenship in either; and yet he will be a citizen of the United States. After becoming a citizen of the United States, he may then, for example, come to Virginia with the intent to remain and reside here, and actually reside here the time required by our laws to be a citizen of this State, and thus become a citizen of Virginia as well as of the United States. And after he becomes a citizen of Virginia, as well as of the United States, he then has for his protection section 2, clause 1 of article 4 of the Constitution of the United States, to wit:

"The citizen of each State shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of citizens of the several States."

Then if a native citizen of Virginia, the neighbor of the naturalized citizen, may go to Massachusetts, and be entitled, by one period of residence, or any terms and conditions, to become a citizen of that State, so he (the naturalized) may go there, and be entitled to the same privilege and immunity. Thus, the Constitution of the United States protects him with equality of privileges and immunities, anywhere and everywhere; but to be fully entitled to that protection, he must be both a citizen of the United States, and of some particular State.

All discriminations between citizens, raising some and depressing others to classes, are anti-Republican and odious, and utterly opposed to the laws of American citizenship.

Would any, then, be found to set up the new doctrine of "non-protection," of "non-intervention," against the republican equality of the privileges and immunities of naturalized citizens? Would any in this behalf ignore the powers and duties of Government to protect the naturalized citizens? Would any allow naturalized citizens to be excluded from the States and Territories, by odious distinctions between classes of citizens? *Should not all citizens in a Republic* be equal in their rights of any kind, of persons and of property? To answer these questions is to *answer your second interrogatory. To admit of such* discriminations is to countenance orders of rank in citizenship as bad as Patrician and Plebeian!

3. You ask my "views regarding the legality and expediency of reopening the African Slave Trade."

This subject is but too fruitful of disquisition and discussion, upon which I cannot enter here. In short, my views are:

By Article 1, section 9, clause 1, of the Constitution of the United States, "The migration or importation" of certain "persons," known to be African slaves, may "be prohibited by the Congress" subsequent "to the year 1808."

I cite what I conceive to be the plain implication of the clause according to the interpretation of the Congress and the courts.

*Congress passed laws to prohibit the African Slave-*trade by our citizens, and under our flag, in our vessels. The authorities of the United States, in every *department of the Government*, for nearly half a century, have sustained and enforced these laws. For more than three years, as Envoy of the *United States* at the Court of Brazil, I was zealously engaged in snatching the flag of our country from the pollution *of that trade, carried on chiefly by New-England's* vessels and crews and Old England's *pates de coire*—"goods fit for the coast of Africa;" and my voluminous correspondence with the Department of State, from August, 1844, to August, 1847, will show what effect I gave the laws of the United States for the suppression of the African Slave-trade, as valid and constitutional laws. You will there see my reasons for saying not only that it would be "illegal" and "inexpedient," but immoral and disgraceful, for the United States to reopen the Slave-trade, or to tolerate the new importations of African slaves into this country. I can easily defend the Slavery of the United States, as it now exists and has existed, from first to last, and show that it is now, at least, well founded on principles wholly opposed to the reopening of the African Slave-trade; that the one is wholly irreconcilable with and opposed to the other; and the reopening of the Slave-trade would be as offensive to the moral sense of the large majority of slaveholders and of the people of the South as to any other people in our own or in any other country. So much for that question.

4. You ask my "views, also, regarding the legitimate bounds of Congressional legislation in regard to the Territories, which, while maintaining non-intervention on the question of forcing or excluding Slavery from them, yet should claim the constitutional power, if necessary, to protect the rights of persons and property within their borders, leaving the people free, at the proper time, to form a State Constitution, and seek admission into the Union, whether Free or Slave States, as they may elect."

This question itself, in the shortest space, states my views, in substance, with precision. In full, they are contained in a pamphlet entitled *Territorial Government, and the Admission of New States &c.*, being a letter addressed by me to WILLIAM P. SAMPSON, Esq., of Alabama, dated Richmond, Va., April 4, 1859, a copy of which I send you. Its length, it being a treatise in fact, enables those opposed to its doctrines to misrepresent it to those who do not read it for themselves. They say it claims "a Code" by Congress for Slavery in the Territories. There is no such claim set up by me. All I ask of those who doubt is to read the pamphlet, and to find any such claim in it, if they can. On the contrary, at page 144 I say: "That the inhabitants or people of a Territory are sovereign to form for themselves a Constitution and State Government;" and "that in their territorial condition they are within the entire control and jurisdiction, or under the entire rule and regulation of Congress, subject to the Constitution of the United States; and that the citizens of each and all of the States are alike equally entitled to protection in all the privileges and immunities of persons and of property, common to equal confederates. And this right and this duty of protection is not to be evaded or avoided either by the false and *captandum* clamor that "a code" is required to be enacted by Congress for the protection of slave property. This is but to cast odium upon Slavery, by creating the impression that a discrimination is necessary to distinguish it above what is due to other personal and proprietary rights. On the contrary, no such code is required to create either the right or the duty of protection, and no law is necessary to distinguish slave property from any other property. All persons and all property, equally and alike, require only not to be assailed and destroyed in, or excluded from the common territories. Every species of right require laws, it is true, suited to their character and their case. Personal property, for example, must have a law that it shall not be "taken and carried away;" and land, which cannot be "taken and carried away," must have a law that it shall not be trespassed upon in some other way; and so with slaves and everything else, they must have provisions according to their kind. But the Constitution of the United States, and the laws of Congress heretofore organizing territories, are sufficient, and if amendments of the laws are required, it is the duty of Congress to see that they are provided, of the Executive of the United States to see that they are executed, and of the Judiciary to decide upon the rights under the laws. The Slave States should never pretend to any peculiar privileges, and do not, so far as I know. They ask only that their rights shall not be assailed and invaded, and, if they be assailed, that they may be protected as other personal and proprietary rights are protected; that they may have equal, confederate, federal privileges and immunities; and they ask for no special or peculiar code. The sole question is: What protection does the Constitution guarantee in the Territories? We contend that it guarantees all protection required, to all persons and all rights recognized within its jurisdiction."

To illustrate: I agree with the true intent and meaning of the Nebraska Kansas act, as declared by itself—"Not to legislate Slavery into the Territory, nor to exclude it therefrom." So I would say of wheat, corn, horses, oxen, sheep, or any other property. I would leave all these to individual will and option. I would not compel a man to sow wheat, nor forbid him to sow it; it would be despotic to attempt such an act by legislation; but because I would not compel or prevent its production, would I, therefore, say, after a crop is made, that I would not protect the husbandman in his labor and property? So with Slavery; whilst I would not intervene to legislate it into or out of any Territory, yet if it goes there with its owner, or exists there as property, would I allow a mob, or unjust and unequal legislation, to destroy the property? Leave it to the Judiciary! say some. Still, a municipal act of legislation is required to say what shall be the legal remedy and form of proceeding, and to give the courts jurisdiction. Many provisions of the Constitution can't operate without a statute—the clauses, for example, as to fugitives from justice and from labor, cannot operate without a remedial law. In a word, not only do all persons and all property, without exception and distinction, require laws for protection, but the courts themselves require the authority of legislation. The State of Virginia has now in her new Constitution, a provision which declares:

"Slaves hereafter emancipated shall forfeit their freedom by remaining in the Commonwealth more than twelve months after they become actually free, and shall be reduced to Slavery under such regulations as shall be prescribed by law."

This has been the Constitution of this State since 1851, nearly eight years, and yet it has had no operation, and can have none, for want of a statute to define the proceedings.

And, on the other hand, where the Courts have jurisdiction, and have but to declare an unconstitutional law inoperative and void, they don't act until a case is brought before them, at the expense, and trouble, and risk of private persons, often unable to bear litigation, and then they are slow to act. The Missouri Restriction, for example, repealed by Congress of 1854, was not declared by the Supreme Court to be unconstitutional until 1857, thirty-seven years after it passed, and the Legislative Department itself repaired its own wrong three years before the Judiciary did.

But this is demanding "a slave code!" say the opponents of protection. We say, it is only what the Constitution and existence of government implies—the primary end of all practical government, the power and duty of protection to all persons and property. The Know-Nothings may say, as they have said, against protecting naturalized citizens—"This is a code for the foreigners!" And those who would reopen the African Slave-trade, may alike exclaim against the laws for its suppression—"This is a code to abolish Slavery." This cry of "a code" ought not to deter any one from claiming the powers and duty of protection from the Government to which allegiance is due. Its first, highest and best duty is to protect. And thus far it has protected all persons and property in the Territories. Whoever drew the Kansas-Nebraska bill, put into it special and discriminating provisions by Congress in favor of Slavery. Less amount of slave property than of any other property can, for example, take a case up to the Supreme Court of the United States, by its provisions; and, whilst the canvass was going on in Illinois, an army of the United States, under a law for which the non-Interventionists voted in the Senate and House of Representatives, was marching to Utah to protect, it was thought, all persons and property in that Territory, and to put down the Satyr of Lust there, which would establish polygamy and the prostitution of woman, and destroy the sacredness of Christian marriage, so necessary to identify offspring in a Republic founded on private virtue and the holy family relations and ties. By what code was all this done? By the code of good morals. I would drive these beasts of Bacchus from a Christian land! It seems now the whole expenses of the Mormon war was to protect Federal *protégés* in their offices! Well, if they may be protected in the Territories, so may I and my property, and so may our naturalized citizens, peacefully and lawfully abroad, be protected in foreign countries—even the countries of their nativity! When the issue of Popular Sovereignty was made, to leave the people perfectly free to form their State Constitutions, without force, fraud or dictation, or intervention from Congress, or any other power, I declared openly for the sovereignty of the people. But I am utterly opposed to "Squatter Sovereignty," and hostile to this cry of "No Code" for the sake of protection—and utterly opposed to the equally vicious doctrine lately put forth: "That the Congress may renounce its powers and duties of protection." If Congress may fail, by ignoring its powers to protect slave property, so the Executive may fail, by ignoring its powers, as it has done, to protect our naturalized citizens in France, or Hanover, or elsewhere; and if these two may ignore, so may the other coördinate Department—the Judiciary. And, if all say, "We will not intervene," what then? Why, anarchy—might will make right, and the whole will end in despotism! This Republic can't live long under the effect of such doctrines, if they be adopted.

No! let us "live and let live" together—love and be loved in the bonds only of our all-protecting Union!—let it be perpetual forever—let its Flag of Freedom float protection over all its citizens, everywhere, and over all their property and rights, at home and abroad. If may have at times to be surrendered on the battle-field; it may be torn by the steel of the *foeman's* bayonet; it may be burnt or blackened by the flash of powder in the "sulphurous stroe of red war!" but never, never, never to be pulled down by *the stains of inhumanity or immorality, or dishonored* by the cowardice which renounces protection and ignores the equal rights of American citizens. For *these principles, if need be, I would vote to hew down* forests of live oak to build ships—dig mountains of iron ore to cast guns—turn all our cotton into canvas and burst it out of its bolt-ropes—in battles with all Europe! Boldly avow and maintain these principles, and there will be no need of battles, but we will have everywhere protection and peace.

With the highest respect, yours truly,

HENRY A. WISE.

To Messrs. UDOLPHO WOLFE, DEXTER OTEY, JOHN BRADBURN, &c., &c., &c.

A liquor-seller at Woburn, Mass., has got into a tight place. The *Boston Journal* says that a petition signed by 415 legal voters of the town was presented to the Selectmen, praying them to suppress the illegal sale of intoxicating liquors. The Selectmen immediately issued a notice and order, warning all persons *engaged in the sale to desist, and ordering the police* to prosecute all violators of the laws. In accordance with the order, the police arrested Father MCCarthy, and he was brought before *P. L. Converse, Esq., on* three complaints. He was convicted in each, and *ordered to pay a fine of $10 and costs*, to be imprisoned thirty days, and give $1,000 bonds not to sell for a year on each indictment. From this decision he appealed, and for want of surety was committed, to appear at the October term of the Superior Court."

The Board of Managers of the Maryland Bible Society say that there are now in Maryland 18,000 families and 110 000 boys and girls able to read, who are destitute of the Bible.

An appeal has been made to the Vermont churches on behalf of the American Board of Missions, which has a heavy debt pressing upon it.

THE HISTORY OF A DUEL.

The Affair in Virginia Between O. Jennings Wise and Mr. Aylett—How the Chivalry Define the Rights of Duelists.

The Richmond papers of Wednesday publish the entire correspondence which preceded the recent duel between Mr. O. JENNINGS WISE (son of Gov. WISE) and Mr. P. H. AYLETT. A dueling correspondence is always interesting. This is peculiarly so, from the fact that these lights of Virginia chivalry have finally settled the terms of the code. It is interesting to note the objections raised by Mr. AYLETT'S second to the "regulations" of firing proposed by Mr. WISE'S friend. An elaborate correspondence was rendered necessary to define the phrase "perpendicular to the line of light,"—the "perpendicular" referring to the line of position or to succeed by the opposite parties. Mr. OLD, however, acting as the friend of AYLETT, finally waived his objections; the "line of light" was mutually understood to be "the line from the observer to the centre of light;" that is, the sun. It was also agreed that but four parties should appear on each side; 1st, the principal; 2d, the second; 3d, the surgeon; 4th, one other friend—or eight persons in all. The duel was accordingly arranged upon this programme.

The origin of the quarrel was a series of editorial articles on Gov. WISE, published in the Richmond *Examiner*

THE NEW-YORK CONSTRUCTIONIST,
DICTIONARY DICTIONS
FINAL HEARING
BY VOTE OF
HONAN H. DAY,
APPEARING HIS WELL ARE ELOQUENT NAME
Fraternal Bishop in all Sunday Papers.
Appointed by the Court to Watch the Case.
Court Room Packed with Lawyers.
Day Sticks to His Guns.
Opinion Reserved.

The suit of Charles Goodyear, Horace H. Day et al. vs. John Gillman et al., for an injunction restraining the defendants from infringing on the plaintiffs' patent for India-rubber fabrics, was brought to a close on the 14th day of June, 1854, for a new trial. The case was argued by Messrs. Curtis and Stoughton for the plaintiffs, and Messrs. Blatchford and Seward for the defendants. Two points were principally contested, the first being whether the plaintiffs' patent was anticipated by the publication in the Scientific American, in 1853, of the specification of Charles Goodyear, jr., and the second whether the defendants had infringed the patent. The Court, in charging the jury, stated that the publication in the Scientific American was not sufficient to invalidate the patent, unless it was shown that the invention described therein had been in public use or on sale for more than two years before the application for the patent was made. The jury, after an absence of about two hours, returned a verdict for the plaintiffs, assessing the damages at $1 and six cents. The case was then argued before the Court in general term, on a motion for a new trial, on the ground that the verdict was against the weight of evidence, and that the Court had erred in its charge to the jury. The decision of the Court was reserved, and has just been rendered. It is in favor of the plaintiffs, and grants a new trial, with costs to abide the event. The opinion of the Court is as follows:

Hon. Judges of the Common Pleas:

This suit was brought to restrain the defendants from infringing on the plaintiffs' patent for India-rubber fabrics, dated June 15, 1844, and extended for seven years from January 25, 1849, and also to recover damages for such infringement. The defendants, in their answer, set up the plea that the invention described in the plaintiffs' patent was not new, and was known and used by others before the alleged discovery of the plaintiffs. They also denied the infringement, and alleged that the patent was void for want of specification. The first thing which was necessary in the examination of the case by my self was to ascertain what was the invention described in the plaintiffs' patent, and what was claimed as new therein. The specification of the patent is dated the 15th of June, 1844, and is addressed to all whom it may concern, and declares that I, Charles Goodyear, jr., of the city of New-York, have invented certain new and useful improvements in the preparation of India-rubber for fabrics, and in the manufacture of articles therefrom, of which the following is a full, clear, and exact description, reference being had to the accompanying drawings, forming part of this specification." Then follows a description of the drawings, and the specification proceeds as follows: "The nature of my invention consists in the preparation of India-rubber for fabrics, and in the manufacture of articles therefrom, by the employment of a solvent of the gum, in combination with sulphur, and the application of heat to the fabric thus prepared, substantially in the manner hereinafter described." The specification then proceeds to describe the process of preparing the India-rubber for manufacture; and the patent was sealed and delivered to the plaintiffs on the 15th day of June, 1844, for a new and useful improvement in the preparation of India-rubber for fabrics, and in the manufacture of articles therefrom, as described in the specification aforesaid, and as the same was intended to be set forth and described in the letters patent aforesaid, reference being had to the specification and drawings thereof. The specification then proceeds to describe the process of preparing the India-rubber for fabrics, and in the manufacture of articles therefrom, as follows: "I take one pound of India-rubber, and cut it into small pieces, and put it into a vessel of convenient size, which I place in a water-bath, and apply heat sufficient to melt the India-rubber, and then add to it one pound of sulphur, and stir the mixture until the sulphur is thoroughly incorporated with the India-rubber. I then take a portion of this compound, and spread it out into a sheet of the required thickness, and cut off the edges, leaving the sheet of a square or oblong form. I then take a piece of cotton cloth, and lay it upon a table or bench, and apply to it a coat of the compound, by means of a brush, and then lay on another piece of cloth, and apply another coat of the compound, and so on until I have the required number of thicknesses of cloth and of the compound. I then put the whole between the plates of a screw-press, and screw down upon it with considerable force, and leave it under pressure for about twenty minutes, when I remove it, and find the cloth firmly united by the compound. I then take a piece of the cloth thus prepared, and place it upon a flat surface, and apply to it a coat of the compound

The New-York Times.

NEW-YORK, FRIDAY, JULY 29, 1859.

THE NEW-YORK TIMES.

Is published in the Times Building, fronting the City Hall Park, on Park-row, Spruce and Nassau streets.

The NEW-YORK TIMES (Daily) is published every morning.—Price Two Cents, served at 125 cents a week, or SIX DOLLARS a year.

The NEW-YORK TIMES (Semi-weekly)—THREE DOLLARS a year; two copies to one address, for FIVE DOLLARS.

The NEW-YORK TIMES (Weekly)—TWO DOLLARS a year; five copies to one address, for TWENTY DOLLARS. Any person sending us a Club of twenty or more, will be entitled to an extra copy.

The TIMES FOR CALIFORNIA is published on the afternoons of every mail steamer. Price, in wrappers, 5 cents for single copies.

TERMS—Cash invariably in advance.

All letters to be addressed to the "NEW-YORK TIMES," New-York City.

Hours Office is at the Post-Office.

[illegible] 8½ P. M.
[illegible] 8½ A. M. and 12½ P. M.
[illegible] 8½ A. M. and 6½ P. M.
[illegible] 8½ A. M. and 3 P. M.
[illegible] 5 P. M.

The Overland Mail for California leave St. Louis every alternate Tuesday and Thursday, at 6 A. M. Letters designed for transmission by that route "Overland, via St. Louis."

Mails for and Mails from St. Joseph, (Mo.) to Placerville, and Salt Lake City, leave St. Joseph every Saturday, at 5 o'clock P. M. Letters should be marked "Overland, via St. Joseph."

[illegible] Livingston, Wells & Co., No. 6 Place de la Bourse, Paris, are agents for the NEW-YORK TIMES—daily and semi-weekly—and will supply Europeans or others, at their own private subscription for any period [illegible] of French dating issues immediately upon the receipt of the American mails.

Ocean Mails.

The European mails by the *Ariel*, hence for Southampton, will close at the New-York Post-Office to-morrow, July 30, at 12½ o'clock.

NEWS OF THE DAY.

The mails forwarded from the *Europa*, at Boston, arrived last evening. To correspondence, and to extracts indicative of the tone of the English and Continental Press, we surrender a liberal appropriation of space.

GOV. WISE, of Virginia, has issued his platform for the Presidential campaign, in a long letter, which we publish this morning, addressed to some gentlemen in New-York, in which he defines his position on the subjects of the Rights of Naturalized Citizens, the Reopening of the Slave-trade, and Squatter Sovereignty.

In advance of the detailed statement of the condition of the Banking institutions of the State, by the Superintendent of the Bank Department, which is in course of preparation and will shortly appear we have received from the office of the Albany *Atlas and Argus* a summary giving the principal figures. From a comparison of these figures, it appears that between March 12, the date of the last quarterly report, and June 25, the date of the present one, the line of Discounts has decreased $5,401,206; the decrease in Specie is $4,206,638; the decrease in Circulation is $1,-215,561; the decrease in Deposits is $9,642,637; while the increase of Capital is $42,836.

On Wednesday afternoon the Literary Societies in Yale College celebrated their Anniversaries. In the evening, Judge STRONG, of Philadelphia, delivered the oration before the Phi Beta Kappa Society. His subject was "American Law; the influences which have given it its distinctive character and its defects." Yesterday the Commencement Exercises proper occurred. One hundred and five young gentlemen of the Graduating Class received the degree of A. B. That of A. M. was conferred on thirty previous graduates of the College. Seven graduates of the Law School received the degree of Bachelor of Laws; seven of the Scientific School that of Bachelor of Philosophy, and five of the Medical School the degree of M. D. Five honorary degrees of Masters of Arts were conferred, and two of Doctors of Medicine. The honorary degree of LL. D. was conferred on S. D. HUBBARD, Esq., of this City, and on F. A. P. BARNARD, President of the University of Mississippi.

The Mayor, last evening, sent a communication to the Board of Aldermen with his objections to signing the resolution authorizing the New-Jersey Railroad and Transportation Company to establish a ferry from the foot of Desbrosses-street, New-York, to Jersey City. A resolution appropriating $1,000 for procuring a portrait of Ex-Gov. KING, to be placed in the Governor's room in the City Hall, was referred. A remonstrance from Gens. SANFORD and EWAN, against the passage of the resolution in favor of memorializing the Governor in behalf of the reorganization of the Twelfth Regiment, was received and referred, and a preamble and resolutions directing the City Inspector to advertise for proposals for another contract for the removal of night soil.

CHARLES HALLENBECK, cooper, committed suicide in the Navy-yard yesterday, by cutting his throat from ear to ear with a drawing-knife. He was employed in the cooperage department, and when he came to his work in the morning stated that some person was trying to kill him. He was watched by his fellow workmen, but upon going to the cellar of the building, ostensibly for the purpose of sharpening his tools, he seized the opportunity to commit the act. The deceased was 35 years old, and leaves a widow and three children, who reside in New-York.

A child of Mrs. CATHARINE O'FLANERTY, 18 months old, was found locked up in the house No. 107 Hudson-street, Brooklyn, yesterday, where it was abandoned the day previous by its mother. The child was much emaciated when found from want of nourishment. It was sent to the office of the Superintendent of the Poor.

An adjourned meeting of the joint Board of Supervisors and Aldermen was held in Brooklyn last evening, to consider the Budget for 1859. The sixth resolution appropriating moneys for general purposes was adopted, when the Board adjourned to Wednesday next.

A new Society, called the St. Matthews' Episcopal Church, has recently been organized in East Brooklyn, by persons who were formerly members of St. Mary's Church on Classon-avenue. The Rev. Mr. JOHNSON is Rector, and he has already secured four lots of land on Throop-avenue, corner of Pulaski-street, for a church site, and received subscriptions amounting to $3,000 with which to erect a house of worship.

Yesterday's sales of Cotton were confined to 600 bales at former rates. A good inquiry prevailed for desirable lots of Flour at somewhat firmer prices. Wheat was also in fair demand. Corn was heavy and droopish. The movements in Provisions, Naval Stores, Oils, and Rice, were restricted. Coffee and Sugars were more freely dealt in. The freight engagements for Liverpool were heavier.

GATE-HOUSES OF THE NEW CROTON RESERVOIR.—The new Croton Reservoir, now in process of construction in the Central Park, covers an area of more than one hundred acres, and is contained within banks of earth, formed to resemble the contour of a natural lake. Two large gates of masonry are required—one where the water from the Aqueduct enters, and the other where it is discharged for the supply of the City. These form the subject of a separate contract, and the Croton Board advertised for proposals, the contract being required, by law to be awarded to the lowest responsible bidders. It appeared on opening the bids that WILLIAM BALDWIN and JOHN M. JAYCOX were such bidders. This was in September last. The several bids were as follows: BALDWIN & JAYCOX, $188,927 70; C. GARDINER and others, $155,411 75; JAMES MCDONALD and others, $176,127 68; A. K. LEARNED, $176,661 30; PATRICK O. SHEERAN and others, $182,034; WILLIAM KIERNEY and others, $185,420 54; J. W. PETTINGEW, $190,474 22; FAIRCHILD & Co., $196,513 15. The Croton Board had no hesitation—could have none—in awarding the contract to BALDWIN & JAYCOX, the lowest bidders, Mr. BALDWIN being a responsible business man of Oswego, and Mr. JAYCOX one of the Canal Commissioners of this State, residing at Syracuse. Unfortunately, however, it was necessary that the contract should be submitted to the Common Council for confirmation, and there the old story began again. The Councilmen took the contract from the persons to whom it had been given, and awarded it to the highest bidders, Messrs. FAIRCHILD & Co., whose offer was $56,585 45 above that of BALDWIN & JAYCOX. We need not waste a moment in expressing surprise at this conduct of the Common Council. It was perfectly natural of course that the Common Council should do this. They would have been a very contemptible Council indeed for New-York had they done otherwise.

But it was natural also that Messrs. BALDWIN & JAYCOX should not submit quietly to so great a wrong against themselves, and they accordingly commenced a litigation which, after dragging its length along for many months, is now in course of argument before Mr. Justice CLERKE of the Supreme Court. The City, which is to lose nearly $60,000 by the defeat of the plaintiffs, has long since learned to acquiesce in its destiny, and will bear this new infliction, should it come to pass, as calmly as it has borne so many others in the past.

Austrian Amusements.

The Emperor FRANCIS JOSEPH must have come out of the war of 1859, a thoroughly astonished man. The whole course of that war has been one series of shocks administered to all the Austrian theories of war and peace alike. In the Cabinet and in the camp, Austria has stumbled at every turn upon a new amusement.

In announcing the conclusion of the peace to his Army, the young Emperor sternly and significantly enough declares that Austria yields to the pressure of an unfavorable political position into which she has been forced by the failure of her "natural allies" to yield her the support upon which she had a right to count. There is mischief for the future in this language, undoubtedly; for Austria, in spite of her loyalty to the Church and her tender regard for the successor of St. Peter, has never very thoroughly learned, the Christian lesson of forgiveness. The Prussian Government, which might have seized the first place in Germany by declaring in April for Sardinian rights, and supporting the demands of NAPOLEON III., or again in June, by openly marching upon France, has succeeded now in alienating Southern Germany more hopelessly than ever from its side, in sowing seeds of vengeance at Paris, and in earning the implacable hate of the House of Hapsburg.

To Prussia, Austria owes her earliest diplomatic astonishments from the side of Germany in the war. To Prussia too Austria owes her latest astonishments of the same kind from the side of France. No single Power has been governed in its dealings with Austria by the principles upon which the Metternich system had prepared the Emperor to expect that it would act.

But the diplomatic amusements which have sent the Kaiser home to Vienna a sadder and probably a wiser man, were fully paralleled by the military experiences of the war.

The English Admiral who, out of his large obedience to the strict rules of theoretical warfare, positively refused to capture a town which was at his mercy, because he could not take a fort which lay in the way of his fleet, and ought to have had the power to prevent his passing on to the conquest of the place, could not have been more surprised had he been there to see it, than the Austrians must have been at the total disregard of all respectable rules, which the French Emperor manifested throughout his whole campaign in Italy. There was nothing whatever in time-honored custom to justify the use of such engines as French science brought to bear against German traditions. The enemy went into battle gallantly enough and well prepared to meet an army equipped and weaponed after their own regulation style. They had made up their minds to endure the pelting of the pitiless storm of grape and shel from the French guns and mortars at the distance of a couple of hundred yards, which they could answer with as well-directed and as rapid a fire from their own. They had made arrangements for the transmission of intelligence from the army to the Capital by *Semaphores* and Hungarian Couriers. They had nerved themselves for the duty of reconnoitering from dangerous positions, and at inconvenient distances, the movements of the enemy; and had sullenly resolved to bear the fatigues of long forced marches with the patience and the fortitude for which they are so justly famed. The troops opposed to them, as they reasonably enough supposed, have to carry and to use similar arms, and labor under equal if not greater difficulties with themselves, and so they went valiantly up to a war which was to be conducted on the principles of tradition, and managed after the manner of martial antiquity.

In the place of this familiar foe they found themselves suddenly confronted with an electrical Emperor and a magnetic army. Over the Alps, along the Tyrrhene Sea, up from the vallies of the Appenines, came a host of men upon whom modern invention had lavished all her resources of destruction; men armed with new and elaborate appliances of slaughter; equipped without regard to custom and with a single eye to their availability as living thunderbolts; and these men were manœuvered upon the lines of their antagonists with an absolute contempt of that military predestination which seems for ages to have compelled the Austrian Generals always to do one particular kind of thing in every variety of changing circumstances.

In place of reconnoitering parties skulking through bushes and behind rocks to catch an uncertain glimpse of the unconscious foe, Mr. GODDARD went up in his balloon in full view of the Austrian forces, and securely anchored to the French camp, rode serenely in upper air, surveyed the foe, conned his movements, and counted his numbers; descending at last to the earth with a budget of information, one-tenth of which it would have cost hundreds of lives to have obtained according to the "rules." Instead of the uncertain *Semaphore*, or the carrier pigeon, or the Hungarian horseman, a telegraphic battery flew with the French from victory to victory, trailing after them their "cloud of glory," calling fresh battallions to the field, making new dispositions for further triumphs. Instead of the old and mainly defensive bayonet, a new weapon, now for the first time employed in European warfare, flashed terror and death from the nervous charge of the gymnastic Zouaves and the agile Voltigeurs.

But the sword-bayonets, bad as they were, were not the "most unkindest cut of all." The Austrians stood bravely, and, once or twice before they turned and fled, crossed their old and clumsy blades with these new and frightful scimitars. But the rifle guns were not thus to be met, the difficulty with these devices lying in the impossibility of getting at them. Their range so far exceeded that of all other cannon in the field that to contend with them was like contending with an army in the moon. The first great battle, that of Solferino, in which the full power of these terrible arms was developed, produced such an effect upon the Austrian rank and file that, as the Verona correspondent of the London *Times*, himself strangely Austrian in his sympathies, wrote to that journal, the Austrians could not be rallied on again to advance against the French."

Austria, in a word, comes out of the late war a thoroughly startled Power. She has been disturbed in all her theories and convictions. The *entourage* in which FRANCIS JOSEPH has lived during the last ten years, of unlimited faith in Austrian diplomacy, Austrian discipline, and Austrian systems of Government, has been effectually broken up. It may fairly enough be supposed, that in the long day's interview of the two Emperors at Villafranca, NAPOLEON III. did not fail to do his best towards deepening the impressions already made upon the mind of his young antagonist. FRANCIS JOSEPH, haughty, rash, and stern of temper as he is, is by no means deficient in intellectual ability. If he is laying well to heart the lessons of this last campaign, a change of front in the Austrian policy, such as Count BUOL endeavored to bring about in 1854–55, with all its momentous consequences to Europe, is a by no means improbable result of the Peace of Villafranca; and this consideration must not be overlooked in estimating the value to the world of the treaty of July 11.

Our Police.

Mr. Superintendent PILLSBURY, in taking command of our City Police force, has just issued an order which promises well for his theory and practice of discipline. He has abolished the system of "roundsmen," and will henceforth require the proper officers to discharge their own duties of supervision in person. The "roundsman," under the old regulations, was a member of the Police, appointed by his superior officers to the duty of making the circuit of the beat of his own squad, and reporting upon the conduct of the men on duty. It was, in fact, a sort of application of the Lancasterian system to the police, and gave the men a monitor from their own ranks. As a matter of course, the plan was a failure, and did much to damage the *morale* of the force. The "roundsmen" were the associates and familiars of their fellow policemen, living in the same rooms with them at the Station-house, thrown in with them in all sorts of relations at all hours of the day, and consequently exposed to the full effects of any ill-feelings which might be generated by a strict fulfillment on their part of their functions, and to all the allurements by which the sense of duty might be laid at rest in them. The natural effects followed. The "roundsman" in nine cases out of ten was blind while making his rounds, and dumb when he was called upon for his report. He acquired a vision and a faculty divine of beholding a policeman at every corner in the blackest nights, where to less gifted eyes a Drummond light would have made only "darkness visible." Like the fortunate possessor of M. DE BALZAC's magic cane, he could pass whole hours in some cozy pot-house with a crowd of chatty "stars," alike unseen and unseeing. These preternatural qualities, however interesting to the individual possessors, did not materially contribute to the general efficiency of the police, and Mr. PILLSBURY has wisely resolved to dispense with them altogether. This is a step in the right direction; but a step which must be but the beginning of a long promenade, if the Metropolitan Police are to be brought up to the standard at which their chief should aim. The Commissioners have done their duty so far in abdicating the old Aulic Council style of control over their men, and confiding full powers with full responsibility to the Superintendent.

The organization of a body of men designed to do what we require the police to effect, must be essentially military in order to be successful. A divided authority, or a vexatious collision of authorities, is just as fatal to a civil police as to an army in the field. The police must be commanded by one man; and that one man must be a commander. If Mr. PILLSBURY proves himself to possess the capacity of command, well and good; let him be confirmed in his position by all the influence of all good and true men. If not, let him be superseded by some other person who will do the the things that must be done, if we are to obtain the proper results from our large police expenditure. Military system is the condition of such success, and the sole condition. And military system to be fruitful must be carried out in all its details. A slip-shod regiment is no better than a mob; a slovenly soldier is a broken reed in the hand that rests on him. And both slip-shod and slovenly our police system in many very important particulars still most assuredly is.

It was supposed, and fairly enough too, that a great gain had been made when our policemen were uniformed by the authorities; and a distinctive costume was prescribed to mark out the guardians of the public order from the mass of their fellow-citizens. The wearing of uniforms is not a mere matter of taste and caprice. The policeman's dress should be, like the soldier's, a perpetual symbol to himself and others of his position and his duties. It is a restraint upon the wearer, since he knows that wherever he goes the public eye will necessarily follow him and take account of his actions. The man who might slip carelessly into the grog-shop half-a-dozen times in the course of the morning, if he wore nothing about his person to attract unusual attention to his misdoings, will hesitate when he knows that all the neighborhood is one volunteer "roundsman" for his special benefit. The gravity of every dereliction from duty committed by a man in uniform, is aggravated by that simple circumstance into a crime against the whole body to which he belongs. The general air and aspect of a uniformed body is for the same reasons hardly less important than their conduct. The reputation of a *corps* is gradually made by the casual impressions thus produced through a long course of time; and with the police as with the military their reputation is their prestige, and their prestige not their least formidable weapon. The fame of the Zouave, for instance, is almost as truly an arm in his hand when he goes into battle as is his terrible sword-bayonet. The general renown of that model body of police, the Irish Constabulary, for good order, daring, discipline and decency, begins to disperse a mob at the mere cry of their coming. And to come nearer home, who does not feel that the credit of our own Seventh Regiment is a real element in the military strength of our civil authorities?

The credit of our police should be just as carefully cultivated. The real value of a great police organization consists less in the apprehension of individual criminals, than in the sense of security which its existence diffuses through the community, and in the moral check which it imposes upon the vicious classes of society. The rascals and vagabonds of the metropolis should never be able to see a policeman on his beat without recognizing in him the personification of an iron system, beyond and above themselves, within the clasp of which it will be safe for no scamp to remain, and from the [illegible]-stretching grasp of which no villain need hope to escape. The trig London policeman—neatly uniformed, silent, patrolling the streets with an eye for all and a voice for none save those who need his services—or the French *sergeant de ville*, embodies in this way the power of public order.

But how is it with our own Police? They do a certain amount of patroling, unquestionably, and may from time to time be met with, especially in the safest and most agreeable parts of the City, and, as more isolated watchmen of the old pattern, are probably efficient enough. But nothing can be more imposing or less significant of good discipline than the style in which they wear their uniforms and carry themselves in the streets. Policemen of a contemplative turn of mind are constantly to be seen standing in shady places, with legs apart and hands plunged deep into the pockets of their trousers, as into those wells at the bottom of which Truth is fabled to repose, their uniform coats unbuttoned or caught daintily like the Newmarket of a London dandy by a single clasp on the breast, the very pictures of mingled philosophy and opulent ease. Others of a more actively inquiring disposition give themselves great pains to investigate the habits and ways of the population, and for that purpose converse amicably with knots of gossips in shop-fronts or at the stalls of the markets.

The student of the picturesque will find every variety of form in which the board of man can be worn, illustrated in the facial ornaments of the *corps*; and so utterly uncontrolled in this respect are the individual fancies of the men, that nine-tenths of the Policemen one meets have the air of Pre-Raphaelite artists, masquerading in brass-buttoned coats. That this state of things is not beyond remedy is plain enough. Occasionally one stumbles on a model member of the Police about our streets, trim, upright, soldierly, quiet and efficient in his whole style and manner. What such individuals are, the whole body might be and ought to be. In the small corps of men detailed for duty on the Central Park, these qualities of neatness, discipline and decorum are the rule, not the exception. The uniform of that corps differs somewhat from that of the rest of the force in color and cut; but the care which is evidently taken to make the men feel the importance of attending minutely to their appearance and deportment makes this difference ten times more conspicuous than it would otherwise be. Surely the Commissioners having done themselves so much credit in one direction, can strengthen the hands of their new Superintendent sufficiently to enable him to carry the same principles out, throughout the entire organization.

The Slavery Question.

As a political issue, Slavery cannot hold the same place in the approaching Presidential canvass which it occupied in 1856. Then the question was in respect to Kansas,—whether that new territory should or should not be "free soil." It was a traverse of the most vital character—a live issue, to borrow the phraseology of that day. No wonder that it aroused the Free States to intensest activity. They regarded the fair and virgin prairies of Kansas as reft by treachery from the protecting ægis of the Missouri Compromise, and exposed to the rapacity of the slave power, with such protection only as Northern votes and Northern arms could afford. To the astonishment of the Democrats, almost the entire North went for FREMONT, several of the strongest Democratic States, either going over to the Republican side, or wavering frightfully in the contest. The South, standing in almost unbroken column, carried the day for BUCHANAN. Yet, though winning the fight, the South lost the prize. Kansas remains with the North, and the substantial victory, of course, attends the object of the struggle. Only the President is left to the conquerors an unwelcome token of their triumph.

The Kansas question is henceforth obsolete; it cannot enter into the campaign of 1860. We find it, therefore, pertinent to inquire in what form the interests of Slavery will be presented, if, as is almost universally conceded, the contest is to be conducted in reference to that subject. First, then, this is a matter over which the Free States have no control. The North must take any presentation the South vouchsafes to give. If, as intimated by the more ultra leaders of public opinion in the cotton States, the legalizing of the African Slave-trade is to be pressed, that will be sufficiently intelligible, to say the least, and the North could not desire an issue more certain to secure victory to its side of the controversy. But it is said, and is probable, that the Southern Democracy are not as yet prepared to take the field with the Slave-trade for their battle-cry; that they will wait, and confer among themselves, with a view to unanimity and harmony—results not fully attained on a topic so startling. Assuming this to be the case, there only remains, as a practicable political position, Gov. WISE's pet doctrine of Congressional protection to Slave property in the Territories. True, that is at present little better than an empty abstraction; for there are no territories to which it can apply. Yet, an issue is a party necessity, and it would seem that nothing can really arouse the war spirit in our Southern brethren except some proposition, abstract or otherwise, in which their slave property is concerned. But it might be well for them to consider whether a still more disastrous result than that of 1860 is not likely to ensue. On a purely slave question the North must unite, as the South always will, and the practical fact to be noticed is, that the North is the stronger. If the Slave States could but learn wisdom, they would, as the weaker section, cease to *tender issues of a sectional character; for to* go to war on such grounds is simply to invite their own defeat.

PHILADELPHIA WONDERS AT NEW-YORK.—The *Press*, a journal printed at Philadelphia, in its issue of yesterday exclaims, with irrepressible astonishment: "New-York is a great place, and New-York Coroners are a remarkable set of men." It being evident that the first branch of its proposition required neither proof nor illustration, the paper in question goes on to make plain its meaning as regards the Coroners of Gotham, which it does by a luminous reference to the case of the woman STEWART, shot, on Saturday last, by that unfortunate Southerner, ROBERT C. MCDONALD, the special marvel of the *Press* being due to the fact that a Coroner summoned a Jury, and made inquisition, while she was still living. "An ordinary Coroner," we are sarcastically informed, "would have waited until she died;" and the *Press* "ventures to say that the Coroner had no legal right to hold an inquest before death." Now we have heard of the law and lawyers of Philadelphia, both of which are held in all due respect. But it becomes our duty, in defence of New-York and her Coroners, to intimate that we have a statute law which requires the Coroner to take precisely the course which the *Press* with such extreme disapprobation. And although not familiar with the enactments of Pennsylvania, and therefore by no means presuming to speak positively in the premises, "we venture to say"—always under cover of the above qualification—that the Keystone State has a law on the subject very similar to our own. Article first, of title 7, of chapter 2, of part 4 of the Revised Statutes of the State of New-York, ordains (the *Press* will please make a note of it) that "whenever any Coroner shall receive notice that any person has been slain, or has suddenly died, or has been *dangerously wounded*," it shall be his duty to summon a jury and "to make inquisition concerning such death or *wounding*."

Now, though it may be very true that, as a general rule, "the New-York Coroners are a remarkable set of men," yet we submit that the individual officer who held the inquest in the case of VIRGINIA STEWART, could have had no thought of astounding the Philadelphians in any degree whatever, but was solely intent upon complying with the statutory requirements of our own State. If our neighbors will accept this sincere apology in behalf of him, and of the City whose official he is, we shall consider that New-York is well out of the scrape.

CITY INTELLIGENCE.

THE WAR AGAINST THE PIGGERIES AND OFFAL-BOILERS.—The Superintendent of Sanitary Inspection, Mr. RICHARD C. DOWLING, with a force of twenty men attached to the City Inspector's Department, and fourteen policemen of the Twenty-second Precinct, under Sergeant SOMMS, started again yesterday, at 10½ A. M., from Waldemeyer's Drove-yard, corner of Fifty-fourth-street and Fifth avenue, to overthrow such of the piggeries and offal-boiling establishments as had not been previously dealt with. They went over the whole of the district bounded by Fiftieth and Fifty-eighth streets, the Sixth and Seventh avenues, sent many pigs to the Pound, demolished many pig pens, and conveyed many cauldrons, used for the boiling of offal, to the Corporation yards. At the establishment of RANAHAN FOX, on Fiftieth-street, near Sixth-avenue, the proprietor of which was arrested the day before, a pit was found near a stable, twelve feet square and two feet deep, in which a large quantity of offal and a boiler were buried. They were removed. At several of the places visited it was found that the pig pens previously demolished had been reconstructed and filled with pigs. The former were torn down again, and the latter were driven to the Pound. The activity exhibited by many of the policemen accompanying the expedition, in catching the pigs and putting them in the carts, and in helping to demolish the pig-pens was worthy of all commendation; all such labor performed by the Police force was of course undertaken voluntarily by them, for their services were only imperatively needed in case of a disturbance. No opposition otherwise than verbal was offered by any of the inhabitants of Hogtown to the measures of the City Inspector's men. All the hog-pens in this locality have now been demolished, and to-day Mr. DOWLING with a small force will pay a flying visit to the late site of all of them and see that no pig-pens are reconstructed. Mr. DOWLING, whose labors in connection with this expedition commenced some time before it was set on foot, and have been exceedingly arduous for the past three days, became suddenly quite ill during the afternoon; he however did not desert his post, and continued to lead the party all day.

THE CASE OF CAPT. CARPENTER.—To-day Capt. CARPENTER, of the Sixteenth Precinct, will ask Gen. PILLSBURY to relieve him from duty until the conclusion of the trial. He says in a letter that he will prove his innocence of the charges made against him, and sustain his reputation as an officer and as a man. He says further that he expects to prove that there is a conspiracy against him; that a certain Sergeant has boasted that on CARPENTER's removal he will be appointed Captain, &c. He says also that he will show that the house in question has been for the past year in good repute, and that when he went there he did so only on business. He thought it necessary to make this explanation, because of the fact that the investigation has been postponed for so long a time.

MILITARY VISITORS.—The Boston *Journal* states that Brig.-Gen. BULLOCK and Staff leaves Boston today for New-York City, where they are to be received ormally on Monday morning by Capt. BUCK's Company of the Eighth Regiment New-York State Militia. Capt. BUCK and his command, accompanied by DODWORTH's full band, together with Gen. BULLOCK and staff as guests, leave New-York on Monday on a tour to Buffalo, Niagara Falls, Montreal, Portland, and other places, and will arrive in Boston on Friday evening, Aug. 5, when they quarter at the American House. The New-York company will spend Saturday, the 6th prox., in Boston, and leave for New-York on the evening of that day.

A CORPSE BROUGHT FROM NEW-ORLEANS.—The remains of a man, named HALSEY A. GILLETT, who was accidentally killed on the 13th inst., by falling from the portico of the St. Charles' Hotel in New-Orleans, reached this city yesterday. Deceased at the time of the accident was on a visit to the Crescent City, but his home is in Johnstown, Fulton County, this State, whither the remains were forwarded via the Albany boat last evening, after an inquest had been held.

THE SOLAR ECLIPSE TO-DAY.—Should the sky in the west be clear to-day, the sun will furnish an exhibition of himself gratuitously. Citizens and strangers are invited to witness the spectacle of his partial eclipse. At 5:26 P. M., the obscuration will begin; thirty minutes later is the period of greatest obscuration; in precisely one hour from the beginning, or at 6:26 P. M., the eclipse will end. The moon will cover but a small portion of the vertex of the sun.

FORGERY.—THOMAS HEALY was committed for trial, by Alderman SMITH, on a charge of passing a $2 bill, purporting to be on the Manufacturers' Bank, Hartford, Conn., at the store of JAMES MCGOWAN, No. 136 Centre-street. No such bank is in existance. LOUIS MILLER, of No. 207 Hester street, also makes a complaint against HEALY, for paying him a similar bill.

RAILROAD CASUALTY.—JAMES MORGAN, on Tuesday evening, attempted to enter a Second-avenue Railroad car by getting on the front platform while the vehicle was in motion. He slipped and fell, the wheel crushing his foot terribly. If the system of the Sixth-avenue road, of having gates at the forward part of the car to prevent egress and ingress, were adopted, all liability to such accidents as this would be obviated.

BURGLARY.—A German farmer, named HENRY SCHULTZ, was detected on Wednesday night in the act of robbing the jewelry store of F. HAYES, No. 20 Rector-street. The proprietor of the store, who sleeps above it, was awakened by the noise, and when he went down stairs the accused was just leaving the premises, with about $100 worth of jewelry. SCHULTZ was committed to the Tombs in default of $1,000 bail.

THE ARRESTED OFFAL-BOILERS.—BERNARD FOX and BERNARD BURNS, proprietors of piggeries, who were arrested on Wednesday, for refusing to stop boiling offal up town, as commanded by the Sanitary authorities, were each held, yesterday, in $1,000 bail, by Justice QUACKENBUSH, to answer a charge of violating a Corporation ordinance.

FOUND DEAD.—A man named THOMAS QUEEN was found dead in the Bull's Head stables, on Third-avenue, last evening. He is supposed to have died in a fit. The body was removed to a proper place by the Police, and the Coroner notified.

FIRE.—About 11¼ o'clock on Wednesday morning a fire was discovered in the fifth story of the tenement house No. 107 Jacob-street. Little damage was done.

Monument of Aaron Burr.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

The article copied in your issue of July 27 from the Trenton *Gazette*, in relation to the monument of AARON BURR, says: "A stranger came to Princeton from New-York, visited the grave-yard, ascertained the location of the grave, and procured the services of a mason, and that night the monument was erected." The article in the *Gazette*, from which the above extract is quoted, was intended to correct a correspondence of the *Tribune* of the 19th inst. The *Tribune* was correct in the main, and the *Gazette* mistaken. The monument was erected publicly, and in open day, there being not the least necessity for any secrecy about the proceedings. The monument was erected by, or at the instance, of his only surviving daughter, now residing in Westchester County, and well known to the writer, and paid for out of Colonel BURR's own estate, by JAMES R. THAYER, Esq., the then Public Administrator for the City and County of New-York. This same silly story has periodically gone the rounds of the Press ever since the monument was erected, though it was refuted at the time—and "time and again" since. The like silly story in Parton's *Life of Aaron Burr*, concerning that "last friend," and what is there said about the monument, was refuted on the appearance of the first edition of the book; but no correction has been made by that gentleman in any subsequent edition of the work that I have seen, though with the slightest trouble Mr. PARTON might have ascertained that there was not the least foundation in truth as regards "the last friend." It is now nearly twenty three years since AARON BURR died, and time has wrought great changes in the public's opinion of that remarkable man,—and why in the name of all that is sacred cannot his enemies let him rest in peace. A thousand times have they dug him up and torn him to pieces, and are not yet content.

VERITAS.

NEWS BY TELEGRAPH.

Penal Account upon a Policeman.

PHILADELPHIA, Thursday, July 28.

A Mr. COLTON, a tailor, supposed to be erroneously identified Policeman KANE as the owner of Fourth and Race streets this morning, with a large pair of shears, and inflicted a wound in the lungs, which is supposed to be fatal. He also injured two other persons before he could be secured.

Mr. Buchanan not a Candidate for Re-election.

BALTIMORE, Pa., Thursday, July 28.

The *Gazette* of this morning publishes an article authoritatively declaring that the President will not be a candidate for renomination.

Loss of Bark Elm.

BOSTON, Thursday, July 28.

Bark Elm went ashore May 27 at Salem River, (West Coast of Africa;) she was afterwards got off and taken to Boston and condemned.

BROOKLYN INTELLIGENCE.

THE TAXES.—The Joint Board of Aldermen and Supervisors held another meeting last evening to consider the budget for 1859. Almost immediately the first action was to reconsider the vote done at the previous meeting, which was the most reasonable appropriation, namely, for "general purposes." The thousand dollars was inserted for heating the City Hall, when the resolution was again adopted. It appropriates the following items:

Expenses of the Board of Health	$5,000
Expenses of the Dog Pound	500
Contingencies for Mayor's Office	500
Printing and Stationery	6,000
Advertising in three Corporation Newspapers	7,000
Fuel for City Hall and Station-houses	2,000
Gas	6,000
Furniture	500
Election expenses	15,000
Cleaning and repairing City Hall and Police Buildings	1,000
City Hospital, pursuant to sub. 26, sec. 12, title 3 of the City Charter	4,000
Celebration of Fourth of July, pursuant to the same section	1,000
Depositories	1,000
Washington Park Improvement	3,000
Repairing streets	15,000
Repairing public docks	500
Expenses of Tenant schools	10,000
Paying expenses of Commissioners for opening parks	300
Introducing water and heating the City Hall	6,000
Expenses of testing ferry and water rights	1,000
Offal contracts	10,000
Total	$91,300

Statement No. 6 was next considered, and, pending the discussion upon appropriations for Fire Department matters, the Board adjourned to Wednesday evening next. The amount already appropriated for Fire Department purposes is about twice as much as last year, notwithstanding the introduction of the Ridgewood water.

SUICIDE IN THE NAVY-YARD.—CHARLES HALLENBECK, cooper, who was at work in the Navy-yard, yesterday, deliberately took a drawing-knife and cut his throat from ear to ear. He worked in the Cooperage shop. When he commenced work in the morning, he said that some one was trying to kill him, and that a man was coming into the yard to kill him. This caused some of his fellow workmen to keep watch of him. At about 11 o'clock he went into the cellar under the Cooper shop, to sharpen his drawing-knife. A man followed him immediately, and he reached the cellar just in time to see him cut his throat. He quickly seized his throat. He had deliberately laid down upon a bench, and placed a piece of wood under his neck to catch the blood. So determined was he, that had not the handle of the knife caught against the bucket as he drew it across his neck, his head would doubtless have been completely severed. He was taken to the Naval Hospital, where he soon after expired, but was conscious, and could speak until a short time before his dissolution. His remains were taken to his late residence in New-York. The deceased was a native of Pennsylvania, and had worked for a long time in the Navy-yard, where he was much respected by his fellow-workmen for many good qualities. He was about 35 years old, and leaves a widow and three children.

AN UNNATURAL MOTHER.—The residents in the vicinity of No. 107 Hudson-avenue were startled yesterday afternoon by the moans of a child, in the house numbered as above. The neighbors knowing that the occupant, Mrs. CATHARINE O'FLANERTY, had been absent since the day previous, their surprise can well be imagined. The door was burst open, when they discovered the youngest child of Mrs. O'FLANERTY, only 18 months old, restrained upon the floor, and unable to move about from the want of nourishment. The child was fed by a neighbor, when Captain KANE, of the Second Precinct Police, took it in charge and placed it in custody of the Superintendent of the Poor. We understand that the mother left the house early on Wednesday, taking with her another child about four years old. She has not been seen since, and it is not known whether she has abandoned the child or has been unwelcomely detained from home. The police are investigating the case.

NEW EPISCOPAL SOCIETY.—A new Episcopal Society has recently been organized in East Brooklyn, under the name of St. Matthew's Church. They occupy temporary quarters at the present time, but the Rector, the Rev. Mr. JOHNSON, is taking active measures to raise money to build a house of worship the present season. He has already secured a site of four lots on Throop-avenue, corner of Pulaski-street, and obtained subscriptions amounting to over $3,000 with which to erect a church edifice. They propose to erect a neat Gothic frame building to accommodate about four hundred persons, at an expense of $6,000. The new Society is an off-shoot from the St. Mary's Society on Classon near Myrtle-avenue. The location of the new Society is in a high, healthy location, and where such a Society is much needed; though sparsely populated at the present time, the neighborhood is fast being built up with respectable houses.

SERIOUS ACCIDENT.—Mr. MCELROY, engineer, while at work on board of the steamer Mystic, at the Navy-yard, yesterday, accidentally missed his footing and fell into the hold, by which his back was seriously injured. He was taken to his residence, No. 91 Sands street, and medical aid was called in.

Base Ball.

EXCELSIOR, OF BROOKLYN VS. BALTIC, OF NEW-YORK.

The first match, of "the best two in three" games between these Clubs, came off yesterday upon the grounds corner of Eighty-second street and Second avenue. It resulted in a complete victory for the Brooklyn Club, who played throughout in that splendid manner for which their Club is justly celebrated. The Baltic Club played very creditably, but at frequent intervals of the game a lack of practice, as contrasted with the Excelsiors, was plainly discernible. A large assemblage was upon the grounds. The following is the score:

EXCELSIOR.	R.	O.	BALTIC.	R.	O.
Reynolds, p. s.	1	6	Lewis, s. f.	3	3
Young, c. f.	3	4	Cone, c. p.	2	4
Pennell, 1 b.	3	2	Actieson, 2 b.	1	4
O. Walling, 2 b.	4	3	Fisher, c. f.	4	3
Rhenius, p.	4	3	Vanhorn, 3 b.	3	3
Mallum, r. f.	4	3	Brown, 1 b.	4	3
Pellegrue, c. f.	4	3	Andrus, l. f.	3	3
D. Whiting, 3 b.	3	3	Darroll, 3 b.	1	3
Laycock, c.	3	6	Bowne, p.	4	1

MUNICIPAL.

Board of Aldermen.

VETOES FROM THE MAYOR—THE TWELFTH REGIMENT—THE REMOVAL OF NIGHT SOIL, &C.

The Mayor last evening sent two vetoe messages to the Board of Aldermen. The first contained his objections to signing the resolution concurring with New-Jersey Railroad and Transportation Company to run a ferry from the foot of Desbrosses street, New-York, to the foot of Morrissania street, Jersey City; and in the second he returned without his approval the resolution directing the Comptroller to draw his warrant in favor of Timothy O'Brien for $300, for fixtures and improvements in the basement of Centre Market.

Alderman Sisan offered a resolution directing the Committee on Arts and Sciences to procure a portrait of Ex-Gov. King, for the Governor's room, and appropriating $1,000 therefor. It was referred to the Committee on Arts and Sciences.

Alderman Genet stated that the special committee to publish the nomination of Commissioners of the Croton Aqueduct had been referred, was not prepared to report.

Major-General Sanford and Brigadier-General Hunt sent in a remonstrance against the passage of the resolution offered by Alderman Sisan, in favor of reinstating the Governor in behalf of the reorganization of the Twelfth Regiment, New-York State Militia. The commanding officers of the First Division and Fourth Brigade say they regret the passage of the resolution, and that it will tend to foster and encourage insubordination among the volunteer militia, the only force upon which the City can rely in case of riot and insurrection. They state that the first acts of insubordination which led to the disbandment of the Twelfth Regiment was the open and defiant disobeying of orders issued by the Commanders, by the special request of the Common Council, for the funeral parade in July, 1856, when the remains of the illustrious patriot James Monroe, were disinterred and conveyed to Richmond; and that for this and other acts of disobedience the Colonel of the Regiment was cashiered, for the second time in two years, after a fair trial. The communication is as follows:

To the Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New-York:

The Remonstrance of the undersigned, Commanding Officers of the First Division New-York State Militia, and of the Fourth Brigade of said Division, respectfully sheweth:

That they have observed with regret the passage of a resolution in the Board of Aldermen for the appointment of a Committee to prepare an application to the Governor for the reorganization of the Twelfth Regiment, and they respectfully remonstrate against the same, for the following, among other reasons:

First—It would directly tend to foster and encourage insubordination among our volunteer militia; the only force upon which we can rely, in case of riot and insurrection, to protect the lives and property of our citizens.

Second—It would be a direct impeachment of the conduct of the Governor of the State, and the military tribunals, who have passed upon the subject after a full investigation of all the facts, and after full and careful deliberation.

Third—The Common Council cannot judge of the propriety of the course they are solicited by interested parties to adopt, without a full investigation of the subject; and such an investigation is inconsistent with their duties, and would require more time than they can bestow upon it.

Fourth—One of the first acts of insubordination which led to the disbandment of the Twelfth Regiment, was the open and defiant disobedience of orders issued by the undersigned, at the special request of the Common Council, for a funeral parade in July, 1856, in honor to the remains of that illustrious patriot, James Monroe, upon their transfer to their final resting place in his native State; for which, among other acts of disobedience and insubordination, the commanding officer of this Regiment was cashiered (the second time in two years) by a Court Martial, composed of honorable and impartial officers, after a long and patient trial, in which he was defended by able counsel.

Fifth—The conduct of their Colonel in this insubordinate course was approved and sustained by his officers and men, who assailed the motives and honesty, and lied to the conduct and characters of the members of the Court who had under oath been performing a necessary and painful duty.

The undersigned would respectfully ask if such conduct should be approved by the Common Council, at whose request this most grateful and generous duty was paid to the remains of a patriot and soldier of the Revolution, and a statesman who had been rewarded with the highest honors of a grateful country; and, whether it is courteous to those who, upon the request alone of the Common Council, promptly acted and performed that duty; and who have always shown themselves ready and anxious to sustain the credit and reputation of the City, as well as to preserve its peace and safety, and who can have no motive to govern them but the good of the service and the public welfare.

Sixth—This Twelfth Regiment, from various causes, had fallen into decay, so that at the annual inspection of 1857 it paraded only 98 muskets, and at the annual inspection of 1858, only 88 muskets.

The annual inspection is the military test of the efficiency of a regiment; and the absurdity of keeping up a regiment, whose only inspected as many men as each company of the Seventh Regiment, was so apparent, that an order was issued by the Commander-in-Chief, to consolidate it with the Tenth Regiment, which had also fallen below the proper standard, but had improved nearly double the number present on parade of the Twelfth Regiment.

This should have built up a good regiment. But encouraged by their cashiered Colonel and his factious officers, instead of submitting to this judicious and necessary measure, they have openly disobeyed the orders of the Commander-in-Chief, and not content with that, have held meetings to denounce and insult him, and abuse and slander their superior officers.

Eighth—By inducing discharged members to attend their musters, and by borrowing such members from other Regiments as were willing to sympathize with them, the eighty-eight rank and file have been swelled to a much larger figure; but the efficiency of a corps is only known by the numbers who parade for duty under the orders of their superiors, and tested by this wholesome rule, the Twelfth Regiment is found to be unavailable. But if it were twice its apparent strength, it would be of no value when it is evident that it can only be called into action, when it can exhibit its insubordination to all legal authority.

Ninth—For all these causes they have been legally disbanded, and the undersigned respectfully remonstrate against any act on the part of the City Corporation which would sap the efficiency of the whole service, by showing any official sympathy with disobedience, insubordination and mutiny.

The good men in the late Twelfth Regiment will find no difficulty in obtaining admission into other Regiments, where they can serve out the residue of their time; but self-respect and the good of the service will prevent the Commander-in-Chief from reorganizing a body which has shown such a total disregard to all legal control, and which refuses to submit to the decision of either civil or military tribunals, or the constituted authorities of the State.

C. W. SANDFORD,
New-York, July 28, 1859. JOHN EWEN.

The communication was referred to the Committee appointed in accordance with the resolution referred to.

Alderman Boole presented a preamble and resolutions directing the City Inspector to advertise for proposals for another contract for the removal of night-soil.

Whereas, By former action of the Common Council, an income was created to the Corporation for the right and privilege of removing night soil from the City; and whereas, by the agreement of said right the income necessary for the Board of Health in the exercise of the duty to preserve the public health, to make arrangements for the rendition of said service, which terminated on the 30th day of May last; and *whereas*, subsequent arrangements were made by the Mayor and Commissioners of Health, whereby a large amount is necessarily expended for said service; and *whereas*, many frequent complaints are made of the manner in which said work is performed, and the inadequacy of the means employed; and *whereas*, it is believed it would be to the advantage of our citizens, both in the preservation of the public health and the reduction of taxation to have the said service performed under contract for a term of years, insuring economy, regularity, and a more effective system than provided at the present time; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Inspector be and he is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for the exclusive right for five years to remove all the night soil of this City, and for carrying away all the contents of the sinks and privies, both liquid and solid thereof, beyond the jurisdiction of the Police Commissioners of this State, without nuisance, under the following restrictions and specifications to which the contractor to provide every night in the year two water-tight and every dock rat apart for that purpose, the aggregate tonnage of which vessels shall not be less than fifteen hundred tons burden to receive, reship and transport beyond the harbor all the contents of the scavengers' carts; such vessels to be of proper size to receive the contents of any slip of the docks; and such vessels to be light decked and properly bulkheaded, with covered hatch ways and tight hatches, which shall be closed except between the hours of 10 o'clock at night and sunrise in the morning.

The contractor shall not use any dumping scow or vessel, nor shall he convey or permit any of the contents in New-York to be carried over or within the jurisdiction of the Police Commissioners, under the penalty, as provided by the laws of the State, of $100 for each and every offence. The contractor and his sureties to become bound to hold harmless and be liable to the Corporation, and to all persons injured, including scavengers in the performance of their work, for any penalty, expense or damage arising from the violation of any provision of the said contract to furnish proper and sufficient boats at each and every dock as above required.

All proposals for bids received to be, without delay, transmitted to the Common Council for action thereon.

The contractor will be required to file security, by two householders or freeholders in the City of New-York, to the amount of $20,000 for the faithful performance of his contract.

If the contractor shall neglect, or refuse to execute his contract, or to furnish proper and sufficient boats at each and every dock, to be of the tonnage above mentioned for said service, within forty-eight hours after notice of the action of the Common Council relative to the award of said contract to him, it will be deemed that said contract has been abandoned, and proceedings will be had in the manner and form prescribed by the provisions of the amended charter of 1857, and the same shall immediately re-advertise and relet, the difference or loss to the Corporation to be sued for and recovered against the person making default and his sureties.

The right being hereby reserved to reject any and all proposals or bids received, if deemed to the interest of the Corporation. They were laid over.

The special order,—the ordinance for redistricting the City,—was taken up, and with several amendments adopted.

Alderman Boole's resolution in favor of appointing a Committee to draft a new City Charter was laid over.

The Board adjourned to Monday.

[Advertisement.]
Herring's Patent Champion Fire-Proof Safes, No. 251 Broadway, corner of Murray-street, opposite City Hall, New-York.

[Advertisement.]
HERRING'S OLD LONDON BOOK SAFE, Manufactured expressly for the Great Fire of Pittsburgh and the Family Fire, for sale by all prominent druggists. H. D. Herring & Co., No. 251 Broadway, New-York. Sole Importers.

[Advertisement.]
FOR SALE.—A steam saw mill, with small grist mills attached, situated on Elk River, Western Virginia, in the heart of the best Cannel Coal region in the world. Several coal oil works are now in course of erection, causing a growing demand for lumber and barrels. There is extra power sufficient for a Cannel machine. The present owner not understanding the business, and being largely interested in the neighborhood, will offer great inducements to a party understanding the business. For particulars apply to E. M. Aspinwall, Rooms Nos. 11 and 14 No. 49 Exchange-place.

[Advertisement.]
A SEWING MACHINE, better adapted to the wants of families than any other which has ever been offered to the public, is now sold for $30. Persons desirous of satisfying themselves that this statement is true, may do so by calling at my sales rooms, No. 505 Broadway, and examining the newly-invented WILLCOX & GIBBS MACHINE. JAMES WILLCOX.

[Advertisement.]
BRADY'S GALLERY
Has removed from No. 359 Broadway to No. 643 Broadway, corner of Bleecker-street.
Photographs, Daguerreotypes and Ambrotypes.

[Advertisement.]
HOLLOWAY'S PILLS AND OINTMENT.—Families unsupplied with these all-important preparations are at the mercy of disease. Boils and bars merely encircle robbers, but these remedies keep death itself at bay. Sold at 80 Maiden-lane, New-York.

MONETARY AFFAIRS.

For Markets see Third Page.

Sales at the Stock Exchange....July 27.

$6,000 Tenn. 6s, 1890	80		50	Erie Railroad	45¾
2,000 do	87¾		150	do	45¾
1,000 N. Y. Cent. R. 6s	99¾		26	Harlem Railroad	23
2,000 Missouri S. 6s	87½		100	do	23¼
3,000 do	85¾		300	Reading R.	44¾
5,000 do	85¾		100	do	44¾
4,000 do	85¾		100	do	44¾
2,000 Cal. S. 7s, Bonds	81		400	do	44¾
3,000 do	80¾		100	do	44¾
2,000 N. C. State 6s	93½		50	Illinois Central R.	67
6,000 Virginia 6s	93¾		100	do	64¼
1,000 N. Y. Cent. R. 6s	99¾		300	Harlem R. Pf'd	30¾
1,000 R. Riv. R 2d mtg.	91		100	do	30¾
6,000 Ill. Cent R. bds	84¾		175	do	30¾
2,000 La Crosse & Mil. Land Grant	15¾		200	Mich. Cent. R.	40¾
5,000 Gal. & C. 1st mtg.	91		100	do	40¾
40 Phœnix Bank	105¾		125	do	40¾
25 Amer. Exch'ge B'k	103¾		50	do	40¾
25 do	103¾		25	do	40¾
25 Bank of Commerce	96		200	Mich. S. & N. I. R.	21¾
9 Fol. & Hud. C. Co.	66		50	do	21¾
160 Pacific M. S. Co.	76¾		100	do	21¾
50 do	76		100	do	21¾
50 do	76¾		125	do	21¾
50 do	76¾		100	do	21¾
600 N. Y. Cen. R.	73¾		50	Ohio Co. & Ohio R.	73¾
50 do	74¼		100	Gal. & Chicago R.	64¾
1750 do	74		150	do	64¾
300 do	73¾		250	do	63¾
200 do	74		100	Chi. & R. I.	81
100 do	74		100	do	80¾
100 do	74		100	do	80¾
100 do	74		50	do	61
200 do	74¼		100	do	61
100 Cle. & To. R.	80¾				

SECOND BOARD.

$6000 Virginia 6s	93¾		100	N. Y. Cen. R.	73¾
5,000 do	93¾		150	do	73¾
1,000 Cal. State 7s, Bds	81		400	do	73¾
1,000 Tenn 6s, '90	87½		100	do	73¾
2,000 Missouri 6s	85¾		500	Ill. Cen. R. Bonds	84¼
200 M. & C. R. 1 G. 8ch.	21		200	Gal. & Chicago R.	64¾
50 do	20¾		50	do	64¾
10 Union Bank	100		50	do	64¾

THURSDAY, July 28—P. M.

The Stock Exchange manifests considerable heaviness to-day, and closed under lower prices than on Wednesday, by ¼@½ ₱ cent. on most of the Railway shares, and as much as 1½ ₱ cent. on Michigan Guaranteed and Pacific Mail. The last price of New-York Central was 73¾ ₱ cent., against 74¼ yesterday afternoon; Galena, 63¾@63½; Rock Island, 61; Michigan Guaranteed, 21; Reading, 44½ ₱ cent. There was less demand for State Stocks, and as several parcels of Virginias, Tennessees, &c., were pressed upon a dull market, prices receded ¼@½ ₱ cent. Missouris were ½@¾ down. Illinois Central Bonds were in request at 84¼@84½, and the shares sold up to 68 ₱ cent., though still 3½ ₱ cent. below the advanced London quotation. Delaware and Hudson was ¼ ₱ cent. better, and Panama steady at 116¼@¾. The general market at 4 o'clock, left off dull; New-York Central, however, improving in demand at the last quotation.

We have no changes to note in the Money Market, and none in the Foreign Exchanges, the latter steady at 110¼@110¾ on London and 5.13¾ on Paris at 60 days. There is a fair export demand for Gold bars. The principal shipper will send about $550,000 and other parties, perhaps, a million of dollars by the different steamers on Saturday.

We have some further financial and market details of the English news to July 16 by the *Jura* at this port and the *Europa* at Boston. The return of the Bank of England shows a Bullion Stock of £17,941,000, or £147,000 less than the previous weekly return. There is a decrease in the ordinary Discount line of £879,000. And the reserve of unemployed Bank Notes is £10,100,000. This return is up to Wednesday, July 13, and on the next day the Board of Directors reduced the rate of Discount to 2½ ₱ cent. Of the 107,000 bales Cotton sold in Liverpool the week ending Friday afternoon, July 15, speculators took 19,400 bales, and exporters 12,200, leaving over 75,000 bales as taken by the trade. The following is the amount of the consumption thus far the present year:

	American.	Brazil.	Egyptian.	East Indian.	Total.	Weekly.
'58	1 659 270	85 860	60,630	1,235,710	44,360	
'59	647,500	14,120	47,710	197,040	1,166,790	41,670

The estimated stock in Liverpool is 668,800,(608,310 American,) against 643,280 (576,950, American) at the same time last year.

Increase of import this year	216,972
Increase of stock	45,520
Increase of quantity taken for consumption	73 630
Increase of quantity taken for export	47,400

The following interesting letter has been addressed by Mr. RICHARD CORDEN to the numerous English holders of the Illinois Central Securities, through the London Agents of the Company. The letter appears to have produced a much improved confidence in the Shares as well as the Bonds of the Road:

LONDON, July 5, 1859.

Messrs. Robert Benson & Co., Gresham House:

DEAR SIRS: On the occasion of the visit which I lately paid on my own account to the United States, many of the shareholders of the Illinois Central Railroad Company for warded me their proxies through your house. I think it only an act of courtesy to send a few remarks upon what fell under my observation, leaving you to deal with this letter as you may think proper. I shall not go into any details respecting the statistics, finances, &c., of the concern, which may be found accurately stated in the last annual report of the directors, and in the reports of Mr. Fuller, and of Messrs. Wheelie and Swift. I paid two visits to Illinois, had free access to every department of the land office and the railway, travelled over every mile of the line by daylight, and made several excursions into the interior among the farmers. The railway appeared to me to be under the management of competent and trustworthy persons; but I have had no practical experience in railroad matters, and therefore my judgment could add little weight to what has been said by others. I was told there is rolling stock ready for double the present traffic, and Captain McClellan, the Vice-President, was looking forward to the result of the present harvest, and to the opening of the through line of railroad to New-Orleans for a large increase in the earnings during the ensuing Autumn. I have no doubt that in a few months his expectations will be realised, but in the meantime it would be useless to speculate on the matter. My attention was directed principally to the land department, which constitutes the peculiar feature and the chief value of the undertaking. The Company had a grant of about 2,000,000 acres of land, bordering on the railroad, of which nearly one-half has been sold on credit to emigrants, and the most important question for the shareholders is, "Will this land be paid for?" On inquiry, I found that the settlers amounted in number to 10,000, and that their average purchases were about 120 acres each. Being the first comers, their farms were of course near to the railroad and the stations. This circumstance, together with the improvements which had taken place—such as the breaking up and fencing the land, and the erection of houses or other buildings—had certainly added fifty per cent. to their value. There seemed, therefore, to be no reasonable motive for quitting the land. On looking over the correspondence in the Land Office during the past year—which has been a period of great suffering in Illinois and the whole northwest of the United States and Canada, owing to a calamitous failure in the crops in 1858—I found that the settlers, almost to a man, expressed anxiety to remain on their land; and while asking, as they did in the great majority of cases, for an extension of credit, they promised to fulfil their engagements after they had gathered in the ensuing harvest. On inquiring from those who had the greatest experience in selling land in Illinois, I found them unanimously of opinion that the Company's land would be paid for. Not so much importance seemed to be attached to the punctual payment of promissory notes given for land as to the regular discharge of the interest; while the most essential condition of all was, that the purchasers should be occupying and improving the land, which, under such circumstances was estimated to double in value in five years. I may add, that it is the deliberate opinion of the gentlemen at the head of the Land Department in Chicago, that not 2 ₱ cent. of the Company's settlers would throw up and abandon their purchases. The only question then remaining was, whether these farmers, who were generally men of small capital, would have it in their power to pay for their farms? The terms on which they were purchased allowed five years for payment, in annual instalments of 20 per cent. I was told that upon soil so rich as to require no manure for many years, and where the outlay of capital on labor was necessary for clearing the forest, or removing any other obstructions from the surface, it was easy, with customary economy, for the farmer to raise enough produce to pay for his farm in five years. The greater part of the Western lands had, I found, been purchased out of the proceeds of the labor of the immigrants, who had generally started with very little capital. The result of my inquiries was, that I came away satisfied, both with the willingness and ability of the Company's settlers to pay for their land. It is not easy for an Englishman to realise, excepting from the evidence of his senses, the nature and extent of the prairie soil of Illinois. For hundreds of miles you pass over a slightly undulating surface without seeing a hill, or rock, or ravine, or an acre of barren or broken ground to obstruct the progress of the plow. After examining the landed property of the company, I came to the conclusion that, although the cost of the railway will, including loss of interest, exceed the first estimate by fifty per cent., the land will surpass the value originally put upon it to a still greater extent and will, if judiciously managed, ultimately defray the whole expense of the railway.

I remain, dear Sir, yours faithfully,
R. CORDEN.

The *Europa* brings us SATTERTHWAITE'S London Circular of July 15, from which we extract the following reference to American Securities:

"We have had a good market for American Securities during the past week. The various State Bonds usually dealt in have been freely taken at the late rates. In Railroad Securities the chief demand has been for Illinois Central Shares and Bonds; the former have advanced 1 ₱ cent., the latter 2 ₱ cent. Canada improved to 95¾ on the peace being settled, but have gradually declined since, closing 95¼@¾ for Money and Account. Money is very easy; the Bank rate is reduced to 2½ ₱ cent."

It affords us satisfaction to have the *Courier and Enquirer* correct, in a prompt and candid way, one of its own blunders:

From the Courier and Enquirer, July 27.

The expenditures of our countrymen in Europe are $70,000,000 a year. We pay at least $50,000,000 interest yearly to foreign capital invested in this country.

From the same, July 28.

If we had seen the article in yesterday's *Courier and Enquirer*, headed "Commercial Movements, before publication, we certainly should have stricken out the foregoing as erroneous. * * Let there be prudence and caution exercised by those who have the direction of our monetary affairs; but let our Bank Officers beware how they credit such *canards* as found their way into our columns yesterday morning.

One of the *canards* which found their way into the *Courier*, was to the effect that the Banks of this City had only *eighteen* millions Specie, and only *twenty* ₱ cent. to the Deposit line. This did no great mischief, as the official return advertised by the *Courier*, the next morning, showed over *twenty-one* millions in Specie, and over *twenty-eight* ₱ cent. to the Deposit line. Besides, the authority for the absurd blunder was given at the time, and *that* killed its influence. The source of this and other financial *canards* in Wall-street is the Clearing Office of the Associated Banks, where the Corresponding Secretary of the New Lights on Bullion and Currency happens to hold a clerkship. That *he* should persistently use his place to damage the Free Banks of New-York, which his conventicle at Clinton Hall are laboring to overthrow, by forestalling their official returns, and impeaching the strength of their position, is, perhaps, natural enough. Nor shall we quarrel with the taste of the thing if the Associated Bank officers in the Clearing House are content with the liberty thus taken with their name and stationery. But the surprise is that the *Courier* and other respectable journals are so frequently fooled and misled by him.

Quarterly Bank Statement.

From the Albany Atlas and Argus, July 29.

We have on hand, and shall speedily publish, the statement made up at the Bank Department, showing the aggregate of the Resources and Liabilities of the Banks of the State of New-York, as exhibited by their reports to the Superintendent of the Banking Department of their condition on the morning of Saturday, the 25th day of June, 1859:

In the meantime, and in advance of the detailed statement, we subjoin the summary prepared by the Superintendent—adding a column showing the corresponding items of the last quarterly report of date of March 12. From a comparison of these figures the following changes will be noted:

Discounts, decrease	$5,401,206
Specie, decrease	4 206 626
Circulation, decrease	1.219 501
Deposits, decrease	9,642 637
Capital, increase	42,936

RESOURCES.	June 25	March 12.
Loans and Discounts	$185,027,449	$190,428,657
Overdrafts	759&	3 69,455 $1,411 & 257 709
Due from Banks	13,158,254	14.606,525
Due f'm Direc'rs, 6,876,122	$7 472,118	
Due f'm Brok'rs, 3,566 335	3,730,380	
Real Estate	10,000 & 8,481,679	6,227.361
Specie	22,207 782	26 514.408
Cash Items	17,132 630	18 678 997
Stocks and Promis'ry Notes	26,034 786	25,621 795
Bonds and Mortgages	8,104 474	8,372 207
Bills of Solvent Banks	2,263 599	2,116 548
Bills of Susp. Banks $150 &	1,858 127 &	3 154
Loss and Expense Account	1,483,016	1,254,615
Add for cents	937	905
Total	$285,164,804	$297,283,961

LIABILITIES.		
Capital	$110 605 776	$110 502 840
Circulation	26,759,915	27,979 416
Profits	13,524 418	11 339,983
Due Banks	30,178,329	33,955,823
Due individuals and corporations other than Banks	1,418,294	1,041,527
Due Treasurer of the State of New-York	1 439 980	1,517 937
Due Depositors on demand	99,597,772	109,240,609
Amount due not included under either the above heads	1,642,616	1,644,860
Add for Cents	562	497
Total	$285,164,804	$297,283,961

Real Estate....Thursday, July 28.

By order of Referee, Mr. BLEECKER sold certain property on the south side of Thirteenth street, 95 feet west of Avenue B, 17 feet 7½ inches by 103 feet 3 inches. Grocers' Insurance Company plaintiff, $2,000.

By Mr. MULLER, house and lot No. 199 Fourth-street, 55 feet east of Sixth-avenue, about 24 feet by 70 feet. JOSEPH F. MCCOY, $4 000.

Messrs. RAYNOR & BLACKWELL have sold two lots north side of Thirty-sixth-street, 200 feet west of Fifth-avenue, being full lots in size, at $6,000 each, ($16,000,) with a building loan of $14,000 on two four-story basement and under-cellar brown-stone front houses first-class, to be erected upon said lots by EDWARD H. COBURN, builder.

Markets by Telegraph.

ALBANY, Thursday, July 28—6 P. M.

FLOUR quiet, and sales confined to the retail trade; no change in rates. WHEAT in some inquiry for milling, and market steady; sales 12 000 bushels at $1 14 for new red Indiana; $1 16 for prime Michigan; included in the sales are 4 500 bushels inferior white Canadian at $1. CORN firm but quiet; Western mixed, 60c.@62c.; yellow round held at 63c. OATS 32c.@33c. easier; sales about 10,000 bushels at 40c.@41c. for sound State and Canada East, weight; sound State offered at 27¾c., measure, afloat. WHISKY very quiet, and nominally at 25c.@25¼c.

BUFFALO, Thursday, July 28—6 P. M.

FLOUR—Desirable fresh ground firmer; sales 1,000 bbls, at $3 for Sour; $3 75@4 12½ for State from Spring Wheat; $4 25@4 50 for Extra Wisconsin; $4@$5 25 for Extra Michigan, Indiana and Ohio; $5 50@$6 for Double Extras. WHEAT—Standard Spring 3c. advanced; Winter quiet, but firm; demand moderate; sales 4,000 bushels standard Spring, afloat, at 63c.; 6,000 bushels old No. 1 Red Winter Illinois at 90c.; 1,200 bushels new White Kentucky at $1 25@$1 30 for good to choice. CORN quiet and 2c. advanced, closing firm; sales 5,000 bushels No. 1 Illinois at 79c. OATS advanced 2c.; sales 2,000 bushels Upper Lake at 39c. RAILWAY and RYE dull. WHISKY nominal. CANAL FREIGHTS less firm, at 9c. on Corn, 10c. on Wheat, and 35c. on Flour to New-York. *Lake Imports*—5,000 bbls. Flour; 45,000 bushels Wheat; 17,000 bushels Corn; 28,000 bushels Oats; 100 bushels Rye. *Canal Exports*—27 500 bushels Wheat; 24,000 bushels Corn; 8,000 bushels Oats.

OSWEGO, Thursday, July 28—6 P. M.

FLOUR steady, with a moderate business doing for the local, interior and Canadian trade; sales at $5 from red Ohio and Indiana Wheat; $5 50 from white ditto. WHEAT more steady, with fair milling inquiry; sales 2,000 bush. Wisconsin mixed at $1@$1 00; new amber Illinois at $1 20. CORN in moderate demand; sales 6,000 bush. Illinois, mostly at 72c. OATS very scarce. CANAL FREIGHTS dull, at 29c. on Flour, 7c. on Wheat, and 6¾c. on Corn, to New-York. *Lake Imports*—2,000 bush. Wheat; 9,000 bush. Corn. *Canal Exports*—4,000 bush. Corn; 3,000 bush. Oats.

CINCINNATI, Wednesday, July 28.

FLOUR not so firm; sales at $5 for superfine. WHISKY unchanged. PROVISIONS dull; Bacon Shoulders 7c.; Sides 8c. MESS PORK $15 50.

Defiance Salamander Safes.—ROBERT M. PATRICK, sole Manufacturer of the above celebrated SAFES, and Patent Powder-Proof DeFiance Locks and Cross-Bars; also, Fire and Burglar-Proof Sidewards and Parlor Safes, for silver plate, &c. Depôt No. 63 Murray-st., corner of College-place. (Formerly No. 112 Pearl-st.)

Rupture Curable by the Elgin Truss.—Perfect, a water proof, always cleanly, and will last a lifetime. The Varicocele Truss, also, is universally preferred to suspensory bandages, is more comfortable to wear, and affords permanent relief. No. 448 Broome-st., New-York.

Batchelor's Hair Dye, Wigs and Toupees.—This celebrated establishment is at No. 233 Broadway. Twelve private rooms for the application of his famous Hair Dye, the best extant. BATCHELOR'S Wigs and Toupees have improvements over all; this is the only place where these are properly understood and made.

Cristadoro's Hair Dye, Wigs and Toupees surpass any in the world. A complete assortment of Ladies' hair braid Fronts, Half Wigs, &c., always on hand. For sale, and the Dye administered, at 6 Astor House.

Glass Shades! Glass Shades!!
GLASS SHADES of all sizes, for covering clocks, statues, &c., for sale, or exchanged on hand and made to order. Depôt No. 155 William-st., corner Ann-st.

PRICES GREATLY REDUCED.
For all manufacturing purposes these machines are unequalled, for the reason that they are more desirable, are capable of doing more work and of executing more accurate than any machines which have ever been used.
Singer's Machines are now used in all the principal manufacturing establishments throughout the country, and the demand is constantly increasing.
The New Family Machines, at $80 and $90, have become a necessity wherever they have become known.
I. M. SINGER & CO., No. 458 Broadway.

Grover & Baker's Celebrated Noiseless Family Sewing Machines
At reduced prices.
Temporarily at No. 495 Broadway.
Will return to No. 495 in a few weeks.

Meyers' Miraculous Vermin Destroyer.
THE ONLY REMEDY IN THE WHOLE WORLD.
SURE TO EXTERMINATE
BUGS, ROACHES, ANTS, MOTHS, FLEAS, MOSQUITOES, RATS, MICE, AND ALL OTHER VERMIN AND GARDEN INSECTS.
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
No. 41½ Bowery, cor. New-York, July 15, 1859.
JOSEPH MEYER, Esq.—SIR: Upon the reception of your remedy for the destruction of vermin, mice, &c., I caused its application in some of the station-houses, and take pleasure in communicating to you that it proved perfectly successful in exterminating those annoyances. I cheerfully commend it to my fellow-keepers as a sovereign remedy, when infested by those troublesome intruders.
Yours respectfully,
F. A. TALLMADGE,
Superintendent of Police.
For sale, wholesale and retail, by the inventor,
JOSEPH MEYER, Practical Chemist,
No. 6½ Broadway.
Sole agents, A. B. & D. SANDS & CO., 141 William-st.

Berry's Tricopherous
is the
BEST AND CHEAPEST ARTICLE
For DRESSING, BEAUTIFYING, CLEANING, CURLING, PRESERVING and RESTORING THE HAIR. Ladies, try it. For sale by all druggists and perfumers.

Kerosene Oils.
A full supply of this favorite Oil can now be had. Free from all impurities, and color light lemon.
AUSTENS, Agent, No. 63 Pearl-st., New-York.

Rupture Cured.—By MARSH & CO.'S Radical Cure Truss. Also, Gilt Elastic Stockings for varicose veins, supporters and shoulder braces. Instruments for deformities made to order. No. 2 Vesey-st., Astor House, New-York. Ladies' private rooms and female attendants.

Wood's Patent Lock-Stitch Sewing Machines are well adapted to the use of families, tailors, dressmakers, &c. Prices greatly reduced.
WHITNEY & LYON, No. 477 Broadway.

Geo. Saunders' Metallic Tablet Razor Strop.—This inimitable article may be obtained of the sole manufacturer J. & S. SAUNDERS, No. 7 Astor House, and of the various agents throughout the City.

Ladies, don't go in the country until you get a good supply of Gaiter Boots and Shoes for yourselves and children; you will find good articles, at moderate prices, at MILLER & CO.'s, No. 337 Canal-st.

Wood Mouldings 15 per cent. Discount from Book Prices.—Resawing and Planing at 25 per cent. reduction from former rates, at Moulding Mill, corner of 27th-st. and 1st-av. J. F. CUNNINGHAM, Sole Proprietor.

One Dollar Only is Now Charged for a course of lessons on our Sewing Machines
I. M. SINGER & CO., No. 458 Broadway.

MARRIED.

GUNTHER—SIEMON.—In Brooklyn, on Thursday, July 28, by the Rev. Dr. Charles F. Stohlmann, CHRISTIAN G. GUNTHER, of the City of New-York, to MARY E. SIEMON, eldest daughter of George H. Siemon, Bavarian Consul.

GODKIN—FOOTE.—At New-Haven, on Wednesday, July 27, in Trinity Church, by the Rev. Edwin Harwood, Rector, WM. L. GODKIN, of this City, to Miss FANNY E. FOOTE, daughter of the late Samuel E. Foote, Esq., of New-Haven.

DIED.

LEE.—In this City, on Wednesday, July 27, BENJAMIN C. LEE, in the 32d year of his age.

His friends, those of his father, James Lee, of his father-in-law, Cornelius Smith, and of M. J. Smith, are invited to attend his funeral, on Friday, the 29th inst., at 10 o'clock A. M., from his late residence, No. 39 Union-square, without further notice.

MEAD.—In this City, on Wednesday morning, July 27, CLARENCE, son of John B. and the late Cornelia Mead, aged 4 years and 3 months.

The relatives and friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, on Friday afternoon, at 3 o'clock, from the residence of his father, No. 7 Jones-st., without further notice.

BROWNSON.—In Brooklyn, on Thursday morning, July 28, Mrs. HANNAH BROWNSON, aged 91 years and 7 months.

The friends and relatives of the family are invited to her funeral, from the residence of her son-in-law, E. D. Spooner, No. 39 Bond-st., corner of Schermerhorn, this (Friday) afternoon, at 4 o'clock, without further notice.

☞ Vermont and Dutchess Co. papers please notice.

VAN BUREN.—In Brooklyn, on Thursday, July 28, ANN VAN BUREN, relict of Coertland, aged 84 years and 7 months.

The friends and relatives, and those of her son E. K. Van Buren are respectfully invited to attend her funeral on Saturday afternoon, July 30, at 3 o'clock, from his residence, Park-av. and Skillman-st., East Brooklyn.

YATES.—In Brooklyn, on Wednesday evening, July 27, of cholera infantum, JOHN FREDERICK, son of John and Elizabeth M. Yates, aged 15 months and 15 days.

The funeral will take place from the residence of his parents, No. 89 4th-st., Brooklyn, E. D., on Friday, the 29th inst., at 3 o'clock P. M.

☞ California papers will please copy.

RIDDLE.—In Harlem, on Thursday, July 28, of consumption, CHAS. RIDDLE, in the 41st year of his age.

The friends and relatives are invited to attend the funeral, on Saturday, July 30, at 10½ o'clock A. M., at his late residence, 126th-st., near 3d-av. His remains will be taken to Rye, Westchester County, for interment.

JONES.—At his residence, in Peekskill, N. Y., on Thursday, July 28, DANIEL R. JONES, son of the late John S. Jones, in the 69th year of his age.

His relatives and friends are invited to attend his funeral, on Saturday afternoon, at 2 o'clock, without further invitation. Hudson River Railroad train leaves Chambers-st. at 11 A. M.

OUR MUSICAL FRIEND.
THE PIONEER OF CHEAP MUSIC IN AMERICA.
No. 25—VOLUME III.
NOW READY.
Price, 10 Cents.
CONTENTS:
The Octave

MISCELLANEOUS.

A GOOD THING
AND CHEAP.
THE
BRILLIANT
OF THIS MANUFACTURE,
PRONOUNCED
FOR
SUPERIORITY OVER ALL OTHERS,
IS NOW OFFERED
AT THE LOWEST CASH PRICES.
WAREROOMS, No. 97 MAIDEN-LANE.

A 1—FOR SALE—A DELIGHTFUL COUNTRY SEAT, containing 10 acres of land, with a large and commodious house, in complete order, and well supplied with fruit trees, shrubbery, &c. Also, a small farm, with a good house, barn, &c., and 20 acres of land. Both of the above places are situated in the village of Bloomingdale, on the Hudson River Railroad, 9 miles from the City Hall, and are offered for sale at a low price. Apply to JOHN BOGART, No. 49 Wall-st.

A 1 DWELLING HOUSE, No. 11 Bond-st., for sale. Apply to A. T. STEWART, No. 257 Broadway.

A 1 DWELLING HOUSE, No. 49 Bond-st., for sale. Apply to A. T. STEWART, No. 257 Broadway.

A 1 DWELLING HOUSE, No. 51 Bond-st., for sale. Apply to A. T. STEWART, No. 257 Broadway.

A 1 DWELLING HOUSE, No. 53 Bond-st., for sale. Apply to A. T. STEWART, No. 257 Broadway.

A 1 DWELLING HOUSE, No.

FINANCIAL.

FINANCIAL.

INSURANCE.

WINES AND LIQUORS.

BUSINESS CHANCES.

PROPOSALS.

MATRIMONIAL.

LOST AND FOUND.

STATIONERY.

PUBLIC MEETINGS.

LEGAL NOTICES.

COPARTNERSHIP NOTICES.

DAY BOATS FOR ALBANY AND TROY.

EXCURSIONS.

PUBLIC NOTICES.

HORSES AND CARRIAGES.

MACHINERY.

FURNITURE.

MUSICAL.

AMUSEMENTS.

RAILROADS.

The New-York Times

Published daily, Sunday excepted, at No. 41 Park-row, (City Hall Square,) New-York.

TERMS.

Daily, delivered in City, per week ..... 13 cents
Daily, mailed to Subscribers, per annum $6 00
Semi-Weekly, per annum 3 00
Weekly, per annum 2 00

Postage to be paid at the office of delivery.

Subscriptions may commence at any time.

Remittances should be made by Drafts on New-York, Checks on Banks, or Bankers' Notes, if possible; if not, Bills on solvent Banks will be taken at par.

Address HENRY J. RAYMOND & CO., New-York.

THE NEWS.

EUROPEAN NEWS.

[Continued from First Page.]

Lord Palmerston was not unwilling to accept the office of Premier, but he would not consent to form a Ministry unless he could secure the services of Lord John Russell, Sir James Graham, Sidney Herbert, and Mr. Gladstone. Lord John Russell declined to enter the Ministry, and Lord Palmerston was obliged to abandon the attempt to form a Ministry. Lord John Russell was then requested to form a Ministry, and he succeeded in doing so. The Ministry is composed of the following persons:

Lord John Russell, Premier and First Lord of the Treasury.
Lord Granville, President of the Council.
Sir George Grey, Secretary of State for the Home Department.
Lord Wodehouse, Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Sir Charles Wood, President of the Board of Control.
Mr. Cardwell, Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Mr. Milner Gibson, President of the Board of Trade.
Mr. Sidney Herbert, Secretary of War.
Lord Clarendon, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland.
Lord Hardwicke, First Lord of the Admiralty.
Mr. Labouchere, Chief Secretary for Ireland.
Mr. Cowper, First Commissioner of Works.
Mr. Edward Ellice, Postmaster-General.
Mr. Wilson, Secretary of the Treasury.
Mr. Bouverie, Vice-President of the Board of Trade.
Mr. Fitzmaurice, Lord of the Treasury.
Mr. Peter Rylands, Lord of the Treasury.
Mr. Hayter, Secretary of the Admiralty.
Mr. Ayrton, Secretary of the Admiralty.
Mr. Monsell, Secretary of the Admiralty.
Mr. Hutt, Under Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Mr. Layard, Under Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Mr. Otway, Under Secretary of State for the Home Department.
Mr. Ayrton, Secretary of the Board of Control.
Mr. Hankey, Secretary of the Board of Trade.
Mr. Baring, Secretary of the Treasury.
Mr. Lowe, Vice-President of the Committee of Council on Education.
Mr. Dodson, Secretary of the Poor Law Board.
Mr. Ayrton, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Hardcastle, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Hayter, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Hankey, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Fitzmaurice, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Peter Rylands, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Monsell, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Otway, Secretary of the Board of Works.
Mr. Ayrton